



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3492]

FRIDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SURESH REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1852/2021

Between:

K.sanyasi Rao and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

Sri J Syamala Rao Ias and Others

...CONTEMNOR(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.V SUDHAKAR REDDY

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.G RAJU

2.RAMALINGESWARA RAO KOCHARLA KOTA

3.K BHEEMA RAO

4.....

The Court made the following Order:

The Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, by an Order dated 21.04.1998, in O.A.No.2393 of 1998, had directed the regularization of the services of the petitioners, in terms of G.O.Ms.No.212. The Writ Petition has filed against this Order by way of W.P.No.33047 of 1998 and the same was dismissed, on 12.11.2007. As the services of the petitioners have not been regularized, the petitioners filed C.A.No.722 of 2008 before the Andhra

Pradesh Administrative Tribunal. During the pendency of this case, the services of the petitioners were regularized, with effect from 06.03.2009.

Aggrieved by the said Orders of regularization, the petitioners again approached the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal by way of O.A.No.9539 of 2010. The A.P Administrative Tribunal has disposed of this OA by way of an Order dated 23.03.2013, directing retrospective regularization of the service of the petitioners, with effect from 21.04.1998. The State filed W.P.No.8703 of 2014 challenging this Order. A Division Bench of this Court, by Judgment dated 25.06.2019, dismissed this Writ Petition.

As the earlier Orders of the Tribunal, dated 20.03.2013 were not being implemented, the petitioners moved W.P.No.41 of 2021 which came to be disposed of, on 14.07.2021 with a direction to the respondents to implement the Orders dated 20.03.2013, within a period of four weeks from the date of communication of the Order.

As there was no compliance of these directions, the petitioners again approached this Court by way of C.C.No.1852 of 2021. Thereafter, the matter had undergone various adjournments for compliance of the directions of the Court.

It was earlier mentioned that the regularization of the petitioners, was awaiting for financial concurrence.

When the matter came up today, Sri G. Raju, learned counsel for the 7th respondent has placed a letter No.1384934/CC.1852/2021-HR-1, dated 22.01.2025, before this Court. In this letter, the Deputy Secretary to Government, Finance Department, has informed the Learned Government Pleader for Services-1 that financial concurrence was issued by the finance department to the water resource department on 17.01.2025 itself, for the implementation of the Orders of this Court, in W.P.No.41 of 2021 dated 14.07.2021.

In such circumstances, it is not clear as to how and why the Orders of this Court have not been complied by the respondents 6 & 8 to 10. No explanation of any nature, has been given, as to the refusal to comply with the directions of the Court despite the fact that the financial concurrence was granted on 17.01.2025 itself.

In the circumstances, let the respondents 6 & 8 to 10 be personally present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

However, their presence would be dispensed with if there is compliance of the directions of the Court, dated 14.07.2021 in W.P.No.41 of 2021 by the next date of hearing.

Post on 25.07.2025.

R. RAGHUNANDAN RAO, J.

K. SURESH REDDY, J.

BSM