



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

MONDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION No. 20872/2025

Between:

SANGAMREDDI GOVINDA, S/O LATE SANYASIAPPADU, AGED
ABOUT 56 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O VOOTAPALLI VILLAGE,
MERAKAMUDIDAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, HOME DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT BUILDING, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT,
ANDHRA PRADESH. REP. BY ITS SECRETARY
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT,
VIZIANAGARAM
4. THE TAHSILDAR, MERAKAMUDIDAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM
DISTRICT
5. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, BUDARAYAVALLASA POLICE
STATION, MERAKAMUDIDAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT
6. SANGAMREDDI VENKATA RAMANA, S/O LATE SANYASIAPPADU,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, R/O VOOTAPALLI VILLAGE,
MERAKAMUDIDAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. TADDI SOWMYA NAIDU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME
2. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with
the following prayer for:

“.... a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No. 5 in interfering with the civil dispute between the petitioner and the respondent No.6 and calling the petitioner to the police station with regard to land in Sy.No. 127/13 to an extent of Ac. 0.46 ½ cents out of Ac 0.93 cents of Vootapalli Village, Merakamudidam Mandal, Vizianagaram District as illegal, arbitrary and one without jurisdiction and consequently direct the 5th respondent not to interfere in the civil dispute between the petitioner and the respondent No. 6.”

2. Sri Md.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, would submit that the dispute between the Petitioner and Respondent No.6 is of civil nature and the Police are not interfering in the civil disputes between the Petitioner and Respondent No.6.

3. Ms.Taddi Sowmya Naidu, learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit, recording the same, the petition may be disposed of.

4. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date:11.08.2025
Dinesh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.20872 of 2025

Dt.11.08.2025

Dinesh