



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION No. 20852/2025

Between:

MULA VIJAYAMMA, W/O LATE KOTI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 65
YEARS, OCC- HOME MAKER, R/O YAPAKAMPALLI VILLOAGE,
KAREMPUDI MANDAL PALNADU DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDING
VELGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.
2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, POLICE HEAD QUARTERS,
MANGALAGIRI GUNTUR DISTRICT.
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, PALNADU DISTRICT.
4. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, KARAMPUDI POLICE STATION
PALNADU DISTRICT.
5. THE SUB INSPETOR OF POLICE, KAREMUPUDI POLICE STATION
PALNADU DISTRICT.
6. MULA VENKATARAMI REDDY, S/O LATE KOTI REDDY AGED
ABOUT 48 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURALIST R/O INDHIRA
COLONY, KAREMPUDI MANDAL PALNADU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. RAMALAKSHMANA REDDY SANEPALLI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with
the following prayer for:

*".... a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action on the part of the
respondent No.4 and 5 in calling the Petitioner to the police station*

and settling the civil disputes with the 6th as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents No. 4 and not to interfere with the civil disputes of the petitioner.”

2. Sri Md.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home would submit that, based on the complaint lodged by Respondent No.6, no crime has been registered against the Petitioner. It is further submitted that the Police are not interfering with the civil disputes between the parties.

3. Sri Ramalakshmana Reddy Sanepalli, learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit, recording the same, the petition may be disposed of.

4. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the Police are directed not to interfere in the civil disputes between the Petitioner and Respondent No.6. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date:07.08.2025
Dinesh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.20852 of 2025

Dt.07.08.2025

Dinesh