



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 20836/2025

Between:

1. SHAIK HASAN VALI @ HASEN VALI @ HUSSAIN VALI,, S/O SHAIK MAHABOOB SUBHANI, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, OCC RUNNING BISMILLAH KICHIDI SHOP, R/O 31-667, ANKALAMMAPETA, NEAR ANKALAMMA TEMPLE, VINUKONDA, PALNADU DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT, A.P SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2. THE COMMISSIONER AND DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION, ANDHRA PRADESH, TADEPALLI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

3. THE VINUKONDA MUNICIPALITY, REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER, VINUKONDA, PALNADU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SASANKA BHUVANAGIRI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP

The Court made the following:**ORDER:-**

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is the third round of litigation, aggrieved by the action of the 3rd respondent in threatening to dispossess the petitioner from the property where the petitioner has been running a kichidi shop.

2. It is submitted that similar attempts were made by the respondent authorities, and the petitioner had filed W.P. No. 29644 of 2013, which was disposed of on 10.12.2018, duly recording the submissions of the then Standing Counsel for the 3rd respondent herein that any action initiated against the petitioner would be in accordance with due process of law. It is also submitted that the action of the 2nd respondent and the 3rd respondent in seeking to dispossess the petitioner was challenged in W.P. No. 28269 of 2022, and the said writ petition was disposed of on 06.09.2022.

3. This Court observed that the 3rd respondent shall have to comply with the orders passed in W.P. No. 29644 of 2013. It is submitted that the 3rd respondent is now trying to dispossess the petitioner without issuing any notice.

4. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the 3rd respondent submits that the petitioner has erected temporary tin sheds over the drainage nala, which is an illegal structure, and that the respondent authorities shall follow due process of law for evicting the petitioner.

5. Recording the submissions, this writ petition is disposed off, directing the 3rd respondent to follow due process of law before taking any steps against the petitioner. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

07.08.2025
PNS