

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE NO: M.A.C.M.A.No.1000 of 2013
PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
8.	01.05.2026	<u>AHHS, J</u> Learned counsel for appellants would submit that there is a substantial legal point involved in this case as to the accountability of the owner and Insurance Company of the vehicle when it was in use, irrespective of the fact as to whether the incident occurred with or without the involvement of the driver of the offending vehicle and it is a specific case of blast of a land mine and during that blast, the vehicle and inmate of the vehicle suffered. In this connection, learned counsel for the appellants has relied on the judgment of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh in a case between <i>Bhupathi Prameela vs. Superintendent of Police, Vizianagaram and Others¹</i>. Sri Jayanti S. C. Sekhar, learned counsel, who is present in the Court, volunteered that he	

¹ 2011 ACJ 861

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		had appeared in the said case in which the principle as to the vehicle being used was dealt with at length by the Division Bench of this Court. Learned counsel for the respondent-Insurance Company would submit that the ratio in the said case is not applicable to the present case, as the State was also a party in the said case and the context is different. At the request of both sides, the matter is adjourned, as the matter requires detailed hearing. Post the matter after Summer Vacation, 2026 under the caption "Part-Heard". _____ AHHS, J Knr	