



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3368]

**WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B V L N CHAKRAVARTHI
WRIT PETITION NO: 19322/2019**

Between:

1. B. RAVI KUMAR,, S/O. B. DORASWAMY NAIDU, HINDU,
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, EX-SERVICEMAN, LIG 460, AP
HOUSING COLONY, ANANTAPURAMU, ANANTAPURAMU
DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, , HOME DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, REP. BY ITS
SECRETARY.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, ANANTAPURAMU,
ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT..
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, IV TOWN POLICE STATION,
ANANTAPURAMU, ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT.
4. SRI RAJA SEKHAR, THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, IV TOWN
POLICE STATION, ANANTAPURAMU, ANANTAPURAMU
DISTRICT.
5. G P ASHOK BABU, D. NO. 13-1-182, REVENUE COLONY,
NEAR CHURCH SCHOOL, ANANTAPURAMU,
ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, declaring action of the respondents No. 3 and 4 in harassing the petitioner and calling him to the police station frequently as illegal, arbitrary, unjust, without any authority and violative of Art. 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently DIRECT the respondents NO. 3 and 4 not to interfere with personal liberty of the petitioner, not to harass him by call him to the police station and not to interfere with the possession of

petitioner's property in Sy.No. 116 to an extent of Ac. 0.25 cents of land situated at Papampet Village, Anantapuramu and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to DIRECT the respondents No. 3 and 4 not to harass the petitioner by calling him to the police station, pending disposal of above W.P, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.N RANGA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.V.L.N.CHAKRAVARTHI**WRIT PETITION No.19322 of 2019****ORDER:**

Sri N. Ranga Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that the cause in the Writ Petition does not survive for further adjudication, and the Writ Petition has become infructuous.

2. Sri R. Mallikarjuna Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, representing respondent Nos.1 to 3, is in attendance.

3. Recording the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, interlocutory applications, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B.V.L.N. CHAKRAVARTHI

18th February, 2026
cbn

46

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.V.L.N. CHAKRAVARTHI

WRIT PETITION No: 19322 OF 2019

18th February, 2026

cbn