

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

[3163]

WEDNESDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVINATH TILHARI
CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2090 OF 2023



Between:

1. Gonagala Sridevi, W/o. Venkata Ramana, R/o. D.No.1-139, Gajjanapudi, Prathipadu Mandal, Kakinada District.
2. Sri Bimana Kamala, W/o. Durga Sreenu, R/o. D.No.7-984, GNT Road, Jaggampeta, Jaggampeta Mandal, Kakinada District.
3. Muddada Gowri Lakshmi, W/o. Late Rama Chandra Rao, R/o. RGP-25, FF-2, Rajiv Gruha Kalpa, Sanjay Nagar, Kakinada, Kakinada District.

Petitioners/Petitioners/Defendants

AND

1. Doppa Avinash, S/o. Durga Sankara Vara Prasad, D.No.36-4-2, Temple Street, Kakinada, Kakinada District.
2. Doppa Srivatsa Srikar, S/o. Durga Sankara Vara Prasad, D.No.36-4-2, Temple Street, Kakinada, Kakinada District.
3. Sathi Vinod Kumar Reddy, S/o. Anjaneya Reddy, D.No.2-1-13, Ashram Street, Alcot Gardens, Opp. Railway Station.

Respondents/Respondents/Plaintiffs

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of against the order dt 14-06-2023 in IA No.338 of 2023 in OS No.66 of 2011 on the file of the VI Addl.District Judge Kakinada.

Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dt 29.08.2023, 11.09.2023, 27.09.2023, 08.11.2023, 29.11.2023, 06.12.2023 & 05.01.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri VAKATI VENKATA GNANUSHA Advocate for the Petitioners, and of Sri T.V.Jaggi Reddy, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and the Court made the following

Order:

“The petitioners 1 to 3 are the defendants in O.S.No.66 of 2011 on the file of the VI Additional District Judge, Kakinada in which they filed I.A. No.338 of 2023 which was dismissed. Challenging the same, the present petition is filed, in which the interim direction not to pronounce the judgment in the suit was issued.

2. Sri M. Atchyut, learned counsel represents the respondents 1 and 2/the plaintiffs in the suit.

3. The 3rd respondent is defendant No.4 in the suit. He did not join in I.A. No.338 of 2023. As per the memo filed, along with tracking report, the item is delivered to the 3rd respondent, however there is no appearance for him. Service is sufficient on the 3rd respondent.

4. Post the matter on 13.03.2024.

5. Interim order granted earlier is extended till the next date of listing."

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- A. VIJAYA BABU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The VI Additional District Judge, Kakinada.
2. One CC to SRI. VAKATI VENKATA GNANUSHA, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. T V Jaggi Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

KN

HIGH COURT

RNT,J

DATED:14.02.2024

Post the matter on 13.03.2024

ORDER

CRP.No.2090 of 2023

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

