



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH ::
AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3299]

WEDNESDAY ,THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2090 OF 2023

Between:

1. GONAGALA SRIDEVI, W/o. Venkata Ramana, R/o. D.No.1-139, Gajjanapudi, Prathipadu Mandal, Kakinada District.
2. Sri Bimana Kamala, W/o. Durga Sreenu, R/o. D.No.7-984, GNT Road, Jaggampeta, Jaggampeta Mandal, Kakinada District.
3. Muddada Gowri Lakshmi, W/o. Late Rama Chandra Rao, R/o. RGP-25, FF-2, Rajiv Gruha Kalpa, Sanjay Nagar, Kakinada, Kakinada District.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. DOPPA AVINASH, S/o. Durga Sankara Vara Prasad, D.No.36-4-2, Temple Street, Kakinada, Kakinada District.
2. Doppa Srivatsa Srikar, , S/o. Durga Sankara Vara Prasad, D.No.36-4-2, Temple Street, Kakinada, Kakinada District.
3. Sathi Vinod Kumar Reddy, S/o. Anjaneya Reddy, D.No.2-1-13, Ashram Street, Alcot Gardens, Opp. Railway Station.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India,praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein,the High Court may be pleased topetitioner begs to present this Memorandum of Civil Revision Petition against the order dt 14-06-2023 in IA No.338 of 2023 in OS No.66 of 2011 on the file of the VI Addl.District Judge Kakinada

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay all further proceedings in OS No.66 of 2011 on the file of the Court of the VI Additional District Judge, Kakinada, pending disposal of the above CRP and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(s): SRI. VAKATI VENKATA GNANUSHA

Counsel for the Respondents: T V JAGGI REDDY**The Court made the following:**

The petitioners 1 to 3 are the defendants in O.S.No.66 of 2011 on the file of the VI Additional District Judge, Kakinada in which they filed I.A.No.338 of 2023 which was dismissed. Challenging the same, the present petition is filed, in which the interim direction not to pronounce the judgment in the suit was issued.

2. Sri M. Atchyut, learned counsel represents the respondents 1 and 2/the plaintiffs in the suit.

3. The 3rd respondent is defendant No.4 in the suit. He did not join in I.A.No.338 of 2023. As per the memo filed, along with tracking report, the item is delivered to the 3rd respondent, however there is no appearance for him. Service is sufficient on the 3rd respondent.

4. Post the matter on 13.03.2024.

5. Interim order granted earlier is extended till the next date of listing.

JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI