



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

FRIDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION TRANSFERED FROM APAT NO: 927/2021

Between:

1. DOLAYI MEENA MADHURI, D/O RAMACHANDRA, AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS, UNEMPLOYEE, R/O. ELWINPETA VILLAGE, G.L.PURAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, SOCIAL WELFARE AND I.T.W.F. DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, , VIZIANAGARAM, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, PARVATHIPURAM, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.
4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER AND MEMBER CONVENER, DISTRICT SELECTION COMMITTEE-2014, VIZIANAGRAM, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.
5. THE TAHSILDAR, KURUPAM MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.
6. THE TAHSILDAR, G.L.PURAM VILLAGE AND MANDAL, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

To set aside the letter dated 6.4-2016 issued by the 3rd respondent by holding the same is illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable and violation of the principles of the natural, unconstitutional and without jurisdiction and it amounts to violation of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and direct the respondents to consider her claim and appoint her as SGT (Telugu)

in DSC 2014 in Agency Area in Vizianagaram District as per her merit and social status with all consequential benefits such as seniority etc.,

IA NO: 1 OF 2021

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to issue appointment order to me for the post of SGT (Telugu) in DSC-2014 in Agency Area in Vizianagaram District as per selection made by the said authority on duly verified his certificates as required by them till the disposal of this OA and in the interest of justice

IA NO: 2 OF 2021

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to condone the delay of 2 months 28 days in filing the OA

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.G UMAPATHI SASTRY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR SERVICES II

The Court made the following ORDER:

Since there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 06.02.2026, the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption 'for dismissal'.

2. Despite the matter being listed today under the caption 'for dismissal', there is no representation for the petitioner. It seems the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the writ petition (AT).

3. Hence, this Writ Petition (AT) is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 13.02.2026

TVN

94

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION TRANSFERED FROM APAT NO: 927/2021

Date: 13.02.2026

TVN