



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3367]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V SRINIVAS

APPEAL SUIT NO: 892/2018

Between:

1. KRISTIPATI JAYA RAMI REDDY, S/O KOTI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, HINDU, R/O MACHAVARAM VILLAGE, KANDUKUR MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT - 523105.
2. BANDI PEDDA SUBBA REDDY, S/O OBULA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS, HINDU, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. RENINGAVARAM VILLAGE, J. PANGULURU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT - 523261.
3. DODDI CHINNA BEERAIAH, S/O SURYANARAYANA, AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS, HINDU, OCC BUSINESS, R/O. INDIRA PRIYADARSHINI COLONY ROAD, SANGADIGUNTA, GUNTUR TOWN, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522003.
4. PULAGAM THIRUMALA REDDY, S/O SIVA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS, HINDU, OCC BUSINESS, R/O VENIGANDLA VILLAGE, GUNTUR TOWN, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522 - 509.

...APPELLANT(S)

AND

1. KOPPARAPU LALITHA DEVI, W/O LATE SEETHARAMANJANEYULU, AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS, HINDU, OCC HOUSEWIFE, R/O. D.NO 9-2-48, COURT STREET, ONGOLE TOWN,
2. BANDI SESHAMMA, W/O CHINNA VENKATA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, HINDU, OCC HOUSEWIFE, PERMANENT R/O. PERNAMITTA, ONGOLE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, PRESENTLY RESIDING AT MANDANA PULLALA CHERUVU VILLAGE,

KOMAROLE MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT - 523327

3.BANDI VENKATESHWARA REDDY, S/O CHINNA VENKATA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, HINDU, OCC CULTIVATION, PERMANENT R/O. PERNAMITTA, ONGOLE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, PRESENTLY RESIDING AT MANDANA PULLALA CHERUVU VILLAGE, KOMAROLE MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT - 523327

4.THE REGISTRAR OF SANTHANUTHALAPADU SUBREGISTRATION, SA.PADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT-523225.

...RESPONDENT(S):

To present this Memorandum of Appeal against the Judgment, dated 28/03/2018, passed in O.S.No.208/2014, on the file of Hon'ble Principal District Judge, Prakasam, Ongole, to the extent it went against the appellant, for the following

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of execution and all further proceedings in common decree and Judgment, dated 28-03-2018 in O.S.No.208/2014, on the file of the Hon'ble Principal District Judge,Prakasam, Ongole, pending disposal of the main appeal and pass.

IA NO: 2 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to alienate the suit-schedule property to third parties pending disposal of the main appeal and pass

IA NO: 3 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased To extend the status quo granted on 11-06-2018 and on 26-06-2018 in IA.NO.1 and 2 of 2018 till disposal of the main appeal and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to vacate the interim orders dt. 26.06.2018 passed in I.A.No. 2 of 2018 in A.S.No. 892 of 2018 and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to bring the petitioner herein who is the legal representative of the deceased Respondent No. 1 on record and array her as respondent No.5 in the main appeal and as well as in the Miscellaneous Petitions and pass such

IA NO: 2 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to implead the petitioners as respondents No. 5 and 6, as they are Implead of deceased 1st respondent by virtue of Will dt. 21-01-2022 to the main Appeal suit in AS No. 892 of 2018 and pending interlocutory applications therein and pass

Counsel for the Appellant(S):

1.RAMANA ALLU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.V SUBRAHMANYAM

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V SRINIVAS

APPEAL SUIT NO: 892/2018

JUDGMENT:

The learned counsel for the appellants filed a memo dated 23.10.2025 before the Registrar (Judicial), stating that the appellants/defendant Nos.1 to 4, who filed A.S. No.892 of 2018 are not willing to continue the present Appeal and permit them to withdraw the above Appeal, for which the learned counsel for the respondents opposed and sought time.

2. On perusal of the decree, it is seen that the suit was filed for declaration, for the consequential relief of cancellation of the sale deed dated 27.12.2012, and for the consequential relief of permanent injunction, and the same was decreed. Thereafter, the said decree was challenged by the appellants/defendant Nos.1 to 4. As the appellants do not want to proceed with the matter, even if time is granted as requested by the learned counsel for the respondents, no purpose will be served. Therefore, the memo is recorded.

3. Accordingly, the Appeal Suit is dismissed as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs. Interim orders granted earlier if any, stand vacated.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V.SRINIVAS

Date:24.10.2025

PNS

