



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2016 OF 2025

Between:

1.M/S SURYA INFRA, REP.BY. ITS PROPRIETOR., SRI.GANGI
REDDY SESHU REDDY S/O. KOTI REDDY, AGE 55 YEARS, D.NO.9-
165,JANGAMGUNLAPALEM VILLAGE, MEDIKONDURU
MANDAL,GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.SRI SHASHIBHUSHAN KUMAR, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, TO
PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI,AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR. - 522 503.
- 2.SRI PEEYUSH KUMAR, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, FINANCE AND
PLANNING DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI
AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR. - 522 503.
- 3.SRI V C SUBBA RAYUDU, EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, PRI DIVISION,
NARASARAOPETA, PAINADU DISTRICT.
- 4.SRI ARUN BABU, DISTRICT COLLECTOR, PAINADU DISTRICT.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to may be pleased to punish the respondent herein for the willful, deliberate and wanton violation of the orders dt 22.04.2025 of this Honble Court made in WP. No. 30499/2024 and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.DEVASRI ROSHAN KANCHARLA

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.RAMA RAO KOCHIRI

The Court made the following ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. K. Devasri Roshan, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Ms. Naga Chandrika, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of Sri K. Rama Rao, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent No.2.

2. Ms. Naga Chandrika, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of Sri K. Rama Rao, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent No.2 has submitted the Written Instructions dated 19.02.2026 furnished by the Principal Secretary to Government, Department of Finance stating that the Order has been complied with.

3. This Contempt Case is passed over in the morning to enable the Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner to obtain instructions. After post lunch, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner has submitted that the order of this Court has been complied and no further consideration is necessary.

4. Having so recorded, this Contempt Case is closed. No order as to costs.

5. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt: 19.02.2026
Mnr

206

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD

CONTEMPT CASE NO.2016 OF 2025

Dt.19.02.2026

Mnr