

APHC010378632024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI



THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE



PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3539 OF 2024

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to punish the respondents herein under section 10 to 12 of the contempt of courts Act for intentionally, willfully, negligently, deliberately and Wantedly, floated the orders of this Honourable Court dated 16.04.2024 in W.P.No. 6717 of 2024. Passed by his Lordship Honourable High Court.

Between:

Geethala Narasimha Reddy, S/o Narayana Reddy, Aged about 45 years, R/o.
D.No. 1/17, Thallapaka Village, Rajampeta Mandal, Sri Annamaiah District.

...Petitioner

AND

S Peer Muni, Mandal Tahsildhar, Rajampet Annamaiah District.


(Cause Title is amended as per Court order dated 24 01 2025 vide IA No 1
of 2024 in CC No 3539 of 2024)

...Respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI P NARASIMHULU

Counsel for the Respondent : SRI SUSHMA YAGANTI

The Court made the following ORDER :





**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

**THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3539/2024

Between:

1. GEETHALA NARASIMHA REDDY, S/O NARAYANA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 1/17, THALLAPAKA VILLAGE, RAJAMPETA MANDAL, SRI ANNAMAIAH DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. S PEER MUNI, Mandal Tahsildhar, Rajampet Annamaiah District. Cause Title is amended as per Court order dated 24 01 2025 vide I A No 1 of 2024 in CC No 3539 of 2024

...CONTEMNOR

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to please to punish the respondents herein under section 10 to 12 of the contempt of courts Act for intentionally, willfully, negligently, deliberately and Wantedly, floated the orders of this Honourable Court dated 16.04.2024 in W.P.No. 6717 of 2024 passed by his lordship Honourable Justice SUBBA REDDY SATTI Garu and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to amend the cause title of respondent is as S. Peer Muni instead of S. Mahaboob Chand a Mandal Tahsildhar, Rajampet in C.C.No. 3539 of 2024 pending before this Hon'ble Court and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.P NARASIMHULU

Counsel for the Contemnor:

1.SUSHMA YAGANTI

The Court made the following:

::ORDER ::

The above contempt case is filed complaining about the non-implementation of the order dated 16.04.2024 in W.P.No.6717 of 2024.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing on either side.
3. The petitioner filed W.P.No.6717 of 2024 to declare the action of respondent No.4 in not serving the land of an extent of Ac.1.50 cents in Sy.No.1263/3 of Thallapaka Village, Rajampeta Mandal, Sri Annamayya District, in pursuance of the application made by the petitioner dated 08.02.2024.
4. The writ petition was disposed of on 16.04.2024, directing the respondent No.4 to conduct a survey and fix boundaries by following the procedure contemplated under the Andhra Pradesh Survey and Boundaries Act, 1923.
5. A reply affidavit was filed by the respondent. In para No.6, it was stated that in pursuance of the order dated 16.04.2024, a survey was conducted on 18.06.2024. The petitioner attended the survey; however, refused to sign the attendance sheet. The proceedings *vide* R.Dis.No.A/321/2024, dated 23.09.2024, have been annexed to the reply affidavit.
6. Thus, as seen from the proceedings, the order dated 16.04.2024 passed in W.P.No.6717 of 2024 has been complied with. Hence, this Court

does not find any merit in the contempt case, and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the Contempt Case is dismissed. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/- K.TATA RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER


To,

1. One CC to SRI. P NARASIMHULU Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI. SUSHMA YAGANTI Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

TAC

HIGH COURT
DATED:07/08/2025

ORDER
CC NO. 3539 OF 2024



CLOSING THE CONTEMPT CASE