



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

THURSDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 6004/2021

Between:

- 1.T.NAGA RAJASEKHAR @ RAJASEKHAR, S/O.VENKATA CHALAPATI
RAO AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.8-123/1,
VENKATESWARANAGAR, MALKAGGIRI, HYDERABAD.
- 2.K.JYOTHI TAKSHMI@ JYOTHI, W/O.RAJASEKHAR, AGED ABOUT 48
YEARS, R/O.D.NO.30-55, FIRE STATION ROAD, PAMARRU,
KRISHNA DISTRICT.
- 3.G.NAGA MARUTHI PADMAVATHI@PADMA, W/O. JAGADESWARA
RAO, AGED BOUT 54 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.534/3, CIRCLEPET,
MACHILIPATNAM, KRISHNA DISTRICT.
- 4.NANDIGAMA VENKATA LAKSHMI, W/O.NEGESWARA RAO, AGED
BOUT 57 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.3-136-A,TAMARAKOLLU VILLAGE,
KAIKALURU MANDAL, KRISHNA DISTRICT.
- 5.A.SRI L ATHA @ LATHA, W/O.KRISHNA, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
R/O.D.NO.2-3-3/1,SANTHINAGAR,AMBERPET, HYDENLBAD.
- 6.T.VENKATA HANUMANTHA RAO, S/O. VENKATA SUBBA RAO, AGED
ABOUT 57 YEAR R/O.D .NO.3-51, KARANAMGARI STREET,
PAMARRU VILLAGE AND MANDAL, KRISHNA DISTRI T

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR ' HIGH COURT BUILDINGS, HIGH COURT OF
ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT

2.T V LAKSHMI, W/O. LATE TVGKS MURTHY, AGED BOUT 43 YEARS,
R/O.D.NO.30-55.NEAR FIRE STATION, PAMARRU, KRISHNA
DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused(S):

1.KARTHIKSIDDARTHA SALADI

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant(S):

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Criminal Petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity 'the Cr.P.C.,') by the Petitioners seeking quashment of the proceedings in C.C.No.320 of 2021 on the file of the learned Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, Gudivada.

Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. No representation for Respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor submits that the case is part heard before the learned Trial Court, and some witnesses of the prosecution are examined. The husband of Respondent No.2 died. It is alleged that prior to the demise of the husband of Respondent No.2 she left matrimonial company of her husband.

Be that as it may, in view of the progress of the trial, the Criminal Petition is disposed of, dispensing with the presence of the Petitioners before the learned Trial Court unless it is otherwise required.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Dr. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Dt: 12.02.2026
VTS