



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 10432/2010

Between:

1.N. MOHAMMAD RAFI, S/O. N. KHADAR KHA R/O. 19-2-69/C, OPP.
SAIRAM MOTORS, SRINIVASAPURAM, RENIGUNTA ROAD,
TIRUPATI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE ZONAL MANAGER / ASSISTANT ZONAL MANAGER D,
ANDHRA PRADESH INDUSTRIAL INFRASTRUCTURE
CORPORATION LIMITED ZONAL OFFICE,INDUSTRIAL ESTATE,
SETTIPALLI POST, RENIGUNTA ROAD, TIRUPATI-517 506,
CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

2.THE CHAIRMAN, THE TIRUPATHI NOTIFIED INDUSTRIAL AREA
(S.V. AUTONAGAR) SERVICE SOCIETY, PLOT NO.88, S.V.
AUTONAGAR, RENIGUNTA ROAD, TIRUPATI-517 506, CHITTOOR
DISTRICT.

3.THE GENERAL MANAGER DISTRICT INDUSTRIES CENTRE,
KONGAREDDIPALLI, CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.K V SUBRAHMANYA NARUSU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. RAMACHANDRA RAO GURRAM

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER:

None present for the Writ Petitioner.

2. Sri Ramachandra Rao Gurram, learned Standing Counsel for APIIC and Sri K. Vijay Raj, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of Sri P. Ganga Ram Reddy, learned Counsel for the Implead Petitioner/Applicant, are present.

3. The Prayer sought in the Writ Petition is as under:

“Hence, I humbly pray, that, this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, particularly a Writ in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents herein in not considering and disposing of the representation/application for allotment of Plot No.311 made by the petitioner dated 09.09.2006, 28.11.2007 and 03.06.2008 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents herein to forthwith consider the representation/application made by the petitioner dated 09.09.2006, 28.11.2007 and 03.06.2008 respectively and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”

4. The Prayer would indicate that the request of the Petitioner for allotment of Plot No.311 through Representations/Applications dated 09.09.2006, 28.11.2007 and 03.06.2008 have not been considered by the Official Respondents. Having been aggrieved by such inaction, the Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition seeking a direction to the Official Respondents for allotment of Plot No.311.

5. This Writ Petition was listed earlier on a few occasions (30.04.2010, 04.06.2010, 10.06.2010, 24.12.2010, 05.10.2020 and 09.02.2011). These dockets would indicate that this Court was not inclined to grant any interim protection. In the meantime, one Smt. Y. Nirmala Devi, W/o Y. Bali Reddy, has filed I.A.No.1 of 2025 seeking Impleadment on the ground that she was

allotted the same Plot No.311 in Auto Nagar, Tirupati and that Sri N. Mohammed Rafi (Petitioner) had executed an Agreement in favour of the Applicant Smt. Y. Nirmala Devi on 26.04.2013.

6. Sri K. Vijay Raj, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of Sri P. Ganga Ram Reddy, learned Counsel for the Applicant (seeking Impleadment), would submit that the construction of M/s Nirmala Batteries Shop was completed long time ago and the Applicant is running the business.

7. In view of the fact that no interim protection has been granted to the Writ Petitioner and also that the said Plot No.311 stood allotted in the name of the Applicant in I.A.No.1 of 2025 *vide* Agreement dated 26.04.2013, the cause does not survive in the present Writ Petition.

8. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands dismissed. No order as to costs.

9. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

GANNAMANENIRAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt:04.12.2025

DSV

166

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO: 10432/2010

04.12.2025

DSV

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI**MAIN CASE No. WRIT PETITION NO: 10432/2010****PROCEEDING SHEET**

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
7.	04.12.2025	<u>GRKP, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2025</u> This Application is filed for impleading the proposed Respondent as Respondent No.4. 2. Having noted the contents of the Affidavit filed in support of this Application, this Court deems it appropriate to allow this Application. 3. Accordingly, I.A.No.1 of 2025 is allowed. _____ GRKP, J <u>Writ Petition No.10432 of 2010</u> Registry is directed to make necessary amendments in the Cause-title. 2. Writ Petition is dismissed. (vide separate order) _____ GRKP, J DSV	

