

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF OCTOBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE


:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY

IA No. 1 OF 2021

IN

WP NO: 22028 OF 2021

**Between:**

B.Lakshmi Narasimha Rao, S/o Srisailam, Hindu, aged about 50 years, R/o Door No.17-3-22, Behind Vasavi Ammavari Temple, Sattenapalli Town and Mandal Guntur District.

...Petitioner

(Petitioner in WP 22028 OF 2021
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Velagapudi, Amaravathi. Andhra Pradesh
2. The Superintendent of Police, Guntur District. Guntur
3. The Station House officer, Sattenapalli Town Police Station Sattenapalli. Guntur District.
4. B.Srisailam, S/o Late B.Subba Ramaiah, Hindu, aged about 75 years, Occ: Business, R/o D.No.17-1-1, Near Garlapadu Bus Stand, Sattenapalli Town and Mandal, Guntur District.

...Respondents

(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner :**SRI S.SYAMSUNDER RAO****Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3:****GP FOR HOME**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.3 not to calling to the police station and not to settle the civil disputes pending before the Senior Civil Judge, Sattenapalli, Guntur District Vide O.S.No.9 of 2021, pending disposal of WP No.22028 of 2021, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER:

"The grievance of the writ petitioner is that the respondent – police officials have been summoning him to the Police Station without there being any crime registered against him and insisting him to enter into compromise with the 4th respondent to settle the dispute that is now pending in the civil Court.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on instructions, would submit that the 4th respondent is the father of the petitioner and he lodged a report with the Superintendent of Police in Spandana program alleging that the petitioner has forged certain documents relating to the 4th respondent and the said report is forwarded to the 3rd respondent – Station House Officer of Sattenapalli Town Police Station and in connection with the said inquiry being made on the said report that the petitioner is summoned to the Police Station.

Therefore, as it is stated that report was lodged against the petitioner relating to forgery of documents, the law has to take its own course relating to the said report. However, the 3rd respondent – Station House Officer of Sattenapalli Town Police Station, is directed not to summon the petitioner to the Police Station and not to insist him to enter into compromise with the 4th

respondent relating to the dispute, which is pending in a civil Court between the petitioner and the 4th respondent.”

SD/- SHAIK MOHD. RAFI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

For Assistant Registrar

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Velagapudi, Amaravathi. Andhra Pradesh
2. The Superintendent of Police, Guntur District. Guntur
3. The Station House officer, Sattenapalli Town Police Station Sattenapalli. Guntur District.
4. B.Srisailam, S/o Late B.Subba Ramaiah, R/o D.No.17-1-1, Near Garlapadu Bus Stand, Sattenapalli Town and Mandal, Guntur District. (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
5. One CC to Sri. S.Syamsunder Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP for Home, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
7. One spare copy

MM

1000000

HIGH COURT

CMRJ

DATED:01/10/2021

ORDER

**IA No. 1 OF 2021
IN
WP NO: 22028 OF 2021**

INTERIM DIRECTION

