

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI  
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JULY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

WRIT PETITION NO: 27077 OF 2015

Between:

V. Naga Raju, S/o. Bala Rama Raju, Aged 57 years, Occ. Secretary,  
Hiramandalam Post and Mandal, Srikakulam District.

... Petitioner/s

AND

1. The Divisional Cooperative Officer, Tekkali, Tekkali (Post and Mandal), Srikakulam District.
2. The District Cooperative Officer, Srikakulam, Gajurathaipeta, Srikakulam District.
3. Chairman, 3 (threemen) Committee, D.C.C. Bank, Srikakulam, -
4. Hiramandalam P.A.C.S. Ltd., Rep. by its President., Hiramandalam Post and Mandal, Srikakulam District.
5. Commissioner of Co-operative Societies, State of Andhra Pradesh, at Hyderabad.
6. State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Dept Co-operation, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.

...Respondent/s

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate SRI M V RAJA RAAM presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased Issue a writ or order or directions particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent

No.4 in not taking into service of the petitioner as per the proceedings Rc.No. Esst/Hr Policy/PACS/2015-16, dt. 17.08.2015 of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, contrary of law, violative of principles of natural justice, without jurisdiction, non-application of mind and ultra virus and consequently directing the respondents to give all service and monetary benefits including arrears of salary, fix fixation, gratuity, etc. in the interest of justice and to pass

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M V RAJA RAAM Advocate for the Petitioner, GP FOR COOPERATIVE for respondent nos.1, 2 & 5 to 6, Sri K.Anup Kumar Standing Counsel for respondent No.3, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.4 herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

The President., Hiramandalam P.A.C.S. Ltd., Hiramandalam Post and Mandal, Srikakulam District.

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an advocate within two (02) weeks to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

**The Court made the following: ORDER**

**“Notice to the respondent No.4.**

**Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) is permitted to take out Personal Notice on the respondent No.4, through RPAD and file proof of service into the Registry, within a period of two (02) weeks.**

Post after two (02) weeks."

Sd/- SK. MD. RAFI  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The President., Hiramandalam P.A.C.S. Ltd., Hiramandalam Post and Mandal, Srikakulam District. (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds)
2. One CC to SRI. M V RAJA RAAM Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR COOPERATION (AP) ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
4. One CC to SRI. K.Anup Kumar, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
5. **One spare copy**

ksr

**HIGH COURT**

**VS, J**

**DATED:16/07/2024**

**Post after two (02) weeks**

**NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION**

**WP.No.27077 of 2015**

