

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MARCH,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

W.P. Nos.15026, 16982, 17008, 17009, 17011, 17023, 19744, 19745, 19746,
19751, 19752, 19753, 19755, 19756, 19767, 19798, 19801, 19873, 19878,
19881, 19950, 19959 & 19960 of 2024 and 6507 and 6509 of 2025

WRIT PETITION NO: 15026 OF 2024

Between:

M/s. Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, A registered Partnership firm under the provisions of Indian Partnership Act, 1932, Having its Office at Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra - East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017, Rep. by the Power of Attorney/ Agent G.Venkateswara Rao, Aged 44 years, S/o. G. Janardhana Rao,

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Prl.Secy, Department of MA & UD, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Vishakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. Vishakhapatnam Metropolitan Region Development, Authority Visakhapatnam, Rep by Metropolitan Commissioner
4. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Prl.Secy, Department of Revenue, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District
5. The District Collector of Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam.
6. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Bheemunipatnam, Visakhapatnam
7. The Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural, Visakhapatnam

8. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years,
Occ:Business, R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm,
Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B.A.NO.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 (Served upon the Petitioner on 11.07.2024) by which the Building permission granted to the Petitioner is kept in abeyance and further directing the Petitioner to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, without jurisdiction, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955, Contrary to the Order Dt. 14.03.2022 of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.6394 of 2022, and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently, set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1A DA/2021 (e-office- 299800) dated 06.07.2024 (Served upon the Petitioner on 11.07.2024) issued by the Respondent No.2;

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office- 299800) dated 06.07.2024 (Served upon the Petitioner on 11.07.2024) issued by Respondent No.2, pending disposal of WP.No.15026 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

IA NO: 2 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to Direct the Respondents not to interfere with construction activity being undertaken by the Petitioner in schedule property admeasuring an extent of Ac.12.51 cents in Sy.No.92/3 of Endada Village, Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, pending disposal of WP.No.15026 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court order dated 26.07.2024, 01.08.2024, 08.08.2024, 22.08.2024, 12.09.2024, 21.10.2024, 14.11.2024, 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Ajay Kumar Kanaparthi, Advocate for the Petitioner and GP for Municipal Administration & Urban Development for the Respondent No.1 and Sri K.Madhava Reddy, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and Sri V.SuryaKiran, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.3 and GP for Revenue for the Respondent Nos.4 to 7;

WRIT PETITION NO: 16982 OF 2024

Between:

Javvadi Gopala Krishna Murthy, S/o Late Sri J Krishna Rao, Aged about 66 years R/o Plot No. 18, Justice Colony, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad-500034.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Pri.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.

3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent Nos.3& 4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 16982 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI SRINIVAS RAO BODDULURI, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 17008 OF 2024

Between:

Mullapudi Anasuya, W/o Mullapudi Venkata Rao, Aged about 78 years R/o H No 220, 1st Ward, Near Cinema Hall Center, Jangareddygudem Mandal, Lakkavaram, West Godavari-534451.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents

more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B.A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 17008 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Kalepu Yashwanth, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP for Municipal Admn and Urban Dev AP for the Respondent No.1 and of Sri J.Dileep Kumar, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of Sri Srinivas Rao Bodduluri, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 17009 OF 2024

Between:

Kuchipudi Satyanarayana, S/o Ramarao, Aged about 62 years R/o D No 257, Tanuku Mandalam, Maddapuram, West Godavari-534146.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent Nos.3& 4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High

Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 17009 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI SRINIVAS RAO BODDULURI, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 17011 OF 2024

Between:

Lavudya Rambai, W/o Sri Ramulu, Aged about 67 years R/o H No 7156, Pandurangapuram, Khammam-507001.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent Nos.3& 4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 17011 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI

J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI SRINIVAS RAO BODDULURI, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 17023 OF 2024

Between:

Ainampudi Nageswara Rao, S/o Ayyanna, Aged about 68 years, R/o.H.No.71409, Koyavaripalem, Enamadala, Guntur-522019.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent Nos.3& 4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the

Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 17023 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI SRINIVAS RAO BODDULURI, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19744 OF 2024

Between:

Vallabhaneni Satyanarayana, s/o Subba Rao, Aged about 69 years, R/o Door No 4133, Korumamidi, Nidadavolu, West Godavari-534305.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.

2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017.Rep by its Managing Partner.
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued

by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19744 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier Order of the High Court dt.28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19745 OF 2024

Between:

Pilla Narasingarao, S/o Pilla Bangarayya, Aged about 63 years R/o Door No 520, PM Palem, Chandrampalem, Pothinamallayapalem, Visakhapatnam-530041.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may

be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19745 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Ms. Kalepu Yashwanth, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI SRINIVAS RAO BODDULURI, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19746 OF 2024

Between:

Abbina Hanumantha Rao, S/o Abbina Subba Rao, Aged about 64 years R/o Door No.2146, Near Water Tank, Sangayagudem, Devarapalli Mandal West Godavari-534313.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Pri.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017.Rep by its Managing Partner.
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and

Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19746 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Kalepu Yashwanth, Advocate, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of Sri J.Dileep Kumar, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and of Sri Bodduluri Srinivas Rao, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19751 OF 2024

Between:

Vallabhani Bujjiraju, S/o Subbanna, Aged about 72 years R/o H.No.538, Vedullakunta, Gopalpuram Mandal, West Godavari-534316.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.

3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19751 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier Order of the High Court dt.28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI BODDULURI SRINIVAS RAO, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19752 OF 2024

Between:

Gadde Lakshmana Rao, S/o G Ramachandra Rao, Aged about 80 years R/o Door No 1247, GaddeVari Street, uttayagudem, Ganapavaram Mandal, West District-534447.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017.Rep by its Managing Partner.
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly

in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19752 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier Order of the High Court dt.28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19753 OF 2024

Between:

Gadde Muniswara Rao, S/o Ramachandra Rao, Aged about 68 years R/o Door No 1101, Bollina VariVeedhi, Yernagudem, West Godavari-534313.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Pri.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017.Rep by its Managing Partner.
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and

Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19753 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Ms.Kalepu Yashwanth, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19755 OF 2024

Between:

Achanta Venkateswar Rao, S/o A Satyanarayana, Aged about 62 years R/o Door No 3/17, Sangayagudem, Devarapalli Mandal, West Godavari-534313.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Pri.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.

3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent Nos.3& 4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19755 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI SRINIVAS RAO BODDULURI, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19756 OF 2024

Between:

Golla Mohan Rao, S/o Goila Subbarayudu, Aged about 81 years R/o Door No 1307, Plot no 44, Kailasagiri road. Cooperative Layout, Visalakshi Nagar, Visakhapatnam-530043.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents

more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19756 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI BODDULURI SRINIVAS RAO, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19767 OF 2024

Between:

Gadde Someswara Rao, S/o G Ramachandra Rao, Aged about 62 years, R/o Door No 1247, Gadde Vari Street, Buttayagudem, Ganapavaram Mandal, West Godavari District-534447.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and

Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19767 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Kalepu Yashwanth, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19798 OF 2024

Between:

Lakamsani Krishnaveni, W/o Chakradhara Rao, Aged about 71 years R/o Door No 8482, Sri Satya Sai Nivas Old CBI Down, Visakhapatnam-530 017.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.

3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19798 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI SRINIVAS RAO BODDULURI, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19801 OF 2024

Between:

Eedala Narayana Rao, S/o. E. Verraju, Aged about 67 years, R/o. Door. No. 21311, Munisibu Gari Veedhi, Katheru, East Godavari - 533105.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA & UD, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017.Rep by its Managing Partner.
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents

more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19801 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI BODDULURI SRINIVASA RAO, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19873 OF 2024

Between:

Alluri Rao Venkata, S/o Alluri Rathaiah, Aged about 72 years, R/o Door No 1230, Kornaqndam, Buttayagudem, Ganapavaram Mandal, West Godavari-5344471.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4thFloor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and

Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19873 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI BODDULURI SRINIVASA RAO, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19878 OF 2024

Between:

Achanta Ramarao, S/o Jagadeeswararao, Aged about 72 years R/o Door No 3146, Gandhi Nagaram, Yernagudem, West Godavari-534313.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA and UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.

3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No ,3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A. No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19878 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI BODDULURI SRINIVASA RAO, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19950 OF 2024

Between:

Lanka Srinivasa Rao, S/o Sriramulu, Aged about 66 years, R/o Door No 313130, Saibaba Street, Dabagardens, Visakhapatnam-530001.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents

more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19950 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Srikalepu Yashwanth, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI BODDULURI SRINIVASA RAO, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19959 OF 2024

Between:

Potluri Narasimha Rao, S/o Late Krishnamurthy, Aged about 63 years R/o Door No 281410, Opp. Melody Theatre, Suryabagh, Visakhapatnam-530020.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.
3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide

B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19959 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI SRINIVAS RAO BODDULURI, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

WRIT PETITION NO: 19960 OF 2024

Between:

Daparthi Satyanarayana, S/o D Narayana, Aged about 66 years R/o Door No 231, Ramalayam Veedhi, Gowripatnam, West Godavari-534313.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Prl.Secy.Department of MA& UD, Secretariat.Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Vishakhapatnam, Rep by its Commissioner.

3. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner
4. Ch. Jagadeeswarudu, S/o Rama Rao, Aged about 64 years, Occ. Business. R/o D.No.2-349/1, Krishna Poultries, Old Dairy Farm, Adarsh Nagar, Visakhapatnam.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of 'Writ of Mandamus' declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide B .A No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 keeping the Building permission granted to the Respondent No.3 in abeyance and further directing the Respondent No.3 to stop the work and not to proceed with any further construction activity solely because of the civil disputes pending between the Respondent Nos.3& 4 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently set aside the proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021 (e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024:

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide B.A.No.1086/3960/B/Z1/YDA/2021(e-office-299800) dated 06.07.2024 issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP 19960 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 28.11.2024, 12.12.2024, 03.01.2025, 09.01.2025, 24.01.2025, 06.02.2025, 13.02.2025 & 19.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KALEPU YASHWANTH, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP for the Respondent No.1 and of SRI J.DILEEP KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and of SRI SRINIVAS RAO BODDULURI, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4;

WP NO: 6507 OF 2025:

Between:

M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, A registered Partnership firm under the provisions of Indian Partnership Act, 1932, Having its Office at Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra - East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017 Rep. by its Managing Partner, Gadde Brahmaji, Aged 45 years, S/o. Sri Muneswara Rao.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The District Collector of Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam. ,
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Bheemunipatnam, Visakhapatnam
4. The Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural Visakhapatnam

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing proceedings vide Rc.No.3037/2006/E2, Dt. 10.03.2025 (Served upon the Petitioner on 11.03.2025) by which the Respondents are seeking to resume the land admeasuring an extent of Ac. 12.51 Cents in Sy.No.92/3 of Yendada Village, Vishakhapatnam Rural Mandal, Vishakhapatnam District as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, without jurisdiction, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Transfer of Property Act, 1881 Contrary to the Order Dt. 19.12.2017 of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.Nos.36413 of 2014 and 26653 of 2015, and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently, set aside the proceedings proceedings vide Rc.No.3037/2006/E2, Dt. 10.03.2025 (Served upon the Petitioner on 11.03.2025) issued by the Respondent No.2.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide proceedings vide Rc.No.3037/2006/E2, Dt.10.03.2025 (Served upon the Petitioner on 11.03.2025) issued by Respondent No.2, Pending disposal of WP No.6507 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession, occupation of the Petitioner and the construction activity being

undertaken by the Petitioner in schedule property admeasuring an extent of Ac. 12.51 cents in Sy.No.92/3 of Endada Village, Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, Pending disposal of WP No.6507 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated 13.03.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Ajay Kumar Kanaparthi, Advocate for the Petitioner, and of GP for Revenue for the Respondents;

WP NO: 6509 OF 2025:

Between:

Ainampudi Nageswara Rao, S/o Ayyanna, Aged about 68 years R/o H.No.71409, Koyavaripalem, Enamadala, Guntur-522019

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Prl.Secy, Department of Revenue, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The District Collector of Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam.
3. The Tahsildar, Visakhapatnam Rural, Visakhapatnam
4. M/s.Hayagreeva Farms and Developers, Door.No.6-20-20/1, Flat.No.502, 4th Floor, Sukshetra - East Point, East Point Colony, Visakhapatnam- 530017. Rep by its Managing Partner

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be

pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents more particularly the action of 2nd Respondent herein in issuing proceedings vide Rc.No.3037/2006/E2, Dt. 10.03.2025 (Served upon the Petitioner on 11.03.2025) by which the Respondents are seeking to resume the land admeasuring an extent of Ac.12.51 Cents in Sy.No.92/3 of Yendada Village, Vishakhapatnam Rural Mandal, Vishakhapatnam District, more particularly an extent of 385 Sq.Yds in Plot No. 14 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, without jurisdiction, violative of Principles of Natural Justice, violative of the provisions of the Transfer of Property Act,1881, Contrary to the Order Dt.19.12.2017 of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.Nos.36413 of 2014 & 26653 of 2015, and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and Consequently, set aside the proceedings vide proceedings vide Rc.No.3037/2006/E2, Dt. 10.03.2025 issued by the Respondent No.2

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of proceedings vide Rc.No.3037/2006/E2, Dt. 10.03.2025 issued by 2nd Respondent, more particularly to an extent of 385 Sq.Yds in Plot No. 14, Pending disposal of WP No.6509 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated 13.03.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Javvaji Sarath Chandra, Advocate for the Petitioner, and of GP for Revenue Advocate for the Respondent, the Court made the following;

COMMON ORDER:

“It is represented that subsequent to filing of these batch of Writ Petitions, W.P.Nos.6507 and 6509 of 2025 were filed questioning the cancellation of allotment of the land to the Petitioner company and an interim order of status quo was granted thereon while directing to post them along with the present batch of cases.

In view of the same, post after two weeks.

Interim order granted earlier is extended by a further period of four weeks.”

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- K.Srinivasa Raju
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to Sri. Ajay Kumar Kanaparthi, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri. M.R.K. Chakravarthy, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP for Municipal Administration & Urban Development, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri K.Madhava Reddy, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri V.Surya Kiran, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
6. One CC to Sri Kalepu Yashwanth, Advocate [OPUC]
7. One CC to Sri J.Dileep Kumar, Advocate. [OPUC]
8. One CC to Sri Javvaji Sarath Chandra, Advocate [OPUC]
9. One CC to Sri Srinivas Rao Bodduluri, Advocate. [OPUC]
10. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court of AP [OUT]
11. Two CCs to Advocate General, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
12. One spare copy



HIGH COURT

VN,J

DATED: 18/03/2025

POST AFTER TWO WEEKS

ORDER

W.P. Nos.15026, 16982, 17008, 17009, 17011, 17023, 19744, 19745, 19746,
19751, 19752, 19753, 19755, 19756, 19767, 19798, 19801, 19873, 19878,
19881, 19950, 19959 & 19960 of 2024 AND 6507 AND 6509 OF 2025

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

