


**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY
WRIT PETITION NO: 21795 OF 2021**



Between:

Bukke Madhusudhan Naik, S/o. Haji Naik, aged about 32 years, R/o Ramanayak Thanda, Musalikunta Panchayathi, Peddamandyam, Chittoor, Chittoor District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by Principal Secretary (Home), Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, SPSR Nellore District, Nellore.
3. The Station House Officer, Dagadarthi Police Station, SPSR Nellore District.
4. Vaduthe Sunitha, W/o. Bukke Madhusudhan, aged about 30 years, R/o Siddareddy Palem, Dagadarthi Mandal, Chittoor District.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri V.ROOPESH KUMAR REDDY presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue Order or Direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents No.3, who has forcibly took the signatures of the petitioner on the settlement paper in favour of Respondent No.4 for Rs.5.5 lakhs in respect of the matrimonial issues in Crime No.151/2021, on the file of Dagadarthi Police Station, SPSR Nellore District by subsequently calling upon the petitioner to the Respondent No.3 Police Station by demanding to pay Rs.5.5 lakhs to Respondent No.4 as illegal, arbitrary, violation of Principle of Natural Justice and Violation of Articles 14, 19(1)(g) and 21 of the Constitution of India and Consequently direct the Respondent No.2 and 3 to follow the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India Judgment in Arnesh Kumar vs State Of Bihar in Crime No. 151 / 2021, on the file of Dagadarthi Police Station, SPSR Nellore District.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri V.Roopesh Kumar Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner and GP for Home for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.4 returnable in four weeks herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Vaduthe Sunitha, W/o. Bukke Madhusudhan, R/o Siddareddy Palem, Dagadarthi Mandal, Chittoor District.

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an Advocate, as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted, on or before 27.10.2021, on which date the case stands posted for hearing.

**The Court made the following:
ORDER:**

“Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home takes notice for respondents 1 to 3 and requests time to file counter affidavit.

Notice to respondent No.4 returnable in four weeks.

Post the matter after four weeks.”

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-M.Suryanadha Reddy
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER
FOR REGISTRATION

To,

1. Vaduthe Sunitha, W/o. Bukke Madhusudhan, R/o Siddareddy Palem, Dagadarthi Mandal, Chittoor District. (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
2. One CC to Sri. V.Roopesh Kumar Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP for Home, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
4. One spare copy

MM

HIGH COURT

CMRJ

DATED:29/09/2021

NOTE: POST THE MATTER AFTER FOUR WEEKS

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.21795 of 2021

