



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 15030 OF 2014

Between:

1.C. RAMAKRISHNA, KURNOOL DT., S/O C.N.NARASIMHUDU, AGED
ABOUT 44 YEARS, NO .MITTAPALLY VILLAGE, ALLAGADDA
MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.PRL SECY HOUSING CORPN HYD 3 OTHERS, REP. BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOUSING CORPORATION,
SECRETARIAT, HYDERABAD.

2.THE PROJECT DIRECTOR, A.P. STATE HOUSING CORPORATION
SANKSHEMA BHAVAN, BIRLA GATE, KURNOOL-518 002.

3.THE TAHSILDAR, KALLUR MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT.

4.THE INSPECTOR OF WAKFS, COLLECTORATE BUILDING
KURNOOL.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.CHILAMKURI HANUMANTHA RAYUDU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.M RAVINDRANATH REDDY(SC FOR APSHC)

2.GP FOR HOUSING

The Court made the following ORAL ORDER:

None for the Writ Petitioner.

2. Heard Sri K. Mallikarjuna Murthy, Ld. Standing Counsel for the Andhra Pradesh State Housing Corporation Limited (APHCL) appearing for the Respondent No.2 and Sri G. Naveen, Ld. Asst. Government Pleader for Housing.

3. The prayer sought in the present Writ Petition is as follows:

“Therefore, it is prayed that this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, to declare the action of the respondent No.2 to 4 in threatening to dispossess the petitioner herein from the land admeasuring 1416.66 Sq. Yards bearing Plot Nos. 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31 in Sy.No.768/2, situated at Kallur village and Mandal, Kurnool District, without issuing any notice and without following the due process of Law, as being illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents not to dispossess or in any manner interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner, and to grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon’ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”

4. Though this Writ Petition is filed in the year 2014, it was listed only once i.e., on 02.06.2014, wherein this Court had directed the Registry to print the name of the Standing Counsel for the Respondent. The above extract of the prayer itself would indicate that the Petitioner was apprehending dispossession and that the no interim protection was granted to the Writ Petitioner by this Court. In this view of the matter, this Court is of the view that no cause survives in this Writ Petition.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

6. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

GANNAMANENIRAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt: 02.12.2025

Mnr

126

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION No.15030 OF 2014

Dt: 02.12.2025

Mnr