

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

MAIN CASE NO.: C.M.A.No.501 of 2019

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl.No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
03.	29.03.2022	<u>MGR,J</u> <u>IA.No.1 of 2019</u> Learned counsel for the appellant filed memo of proof of service stating that notices sent to respondents 1 to 5 were returned unserved with an endorsement 'returned. Addressee left without instructions' and the notice sent to 6th respondent also returned unserved with an endorsement 'party died. Returned'. For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit filed in support of the application and the submissions made, delay of 40 days in presenting the appeal is condoned. Accordingly the application is ordered. <u>MGR, J</u> <u>CMA.No.501 of 2019</u> Heard learned counsel for the appellant. Perused the material record. Considering the grounds of appeal and the substantial question of law raised in the appeal viz., Whether proviso b(ii) of Section 3 of the Employees Compensation Act, 1923 is not attracted to the facts of the present case, Admit. Notice. <u>MGR, J</u> <u>IA.No.2 of 2019</u> There shall be interim stay of the impugned order. However, the respondents 1, 4 & 5 are permitted to withdraw an amount of Rs.3,00,000/- i.e., an amount of Rs.1,50,000/- by the 1st respondent and Rs.75,000/- each by the respondents 4 & 5, without furnishing any security. Notice. <u>MGR, J</u> <i>Vjl</i>	