

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

TUESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 673 OF 2008

Between:

A.Narsimha Reddy, S/o Bheem Reddy, Aged 40 years, R/o Chinthalkunta (V),
Gattu (M), Mahaboobnagar Dist.

Revision Petitioner/Defacto Complainant

AND

1. Parlapally Narsimha Reddy S/o Late Shanker Reddy, 28 years,
H.No.9/1052, NTR Colony, Yemmiganur, Kurnool Dist.
2. Parlapally Parvathamma, W/o Late Shanker Reddy, 48 years,
H.No.9/1052, NTR Colony, Yemmiganur, Kurnool Dist.
3. Parlapally Lalithamma @ Sree Latha, D/o Late Shanker Reddy, 23
years, H.No.9/1052, NTR Colony, Yemmiganur, Kurnool Dist.

Respondents/Accused

4. The State of A.P., rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P.,
Hyderabad.

Respondent

Revision filed under Section 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to set-aside the Judgment dated 02.02.07 made in S.C.No.208 of 2006 by the II Addl. Sessions Judge, Kurnool at Adoni, by convicting the respondent/accused herein for the offences punishable U/s. 498-A and 302 IPC.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI. BUTTA VIJAYA BHASKER Advocate for the Respondents 1 to 3, Public Prosecutor Advocate for the Respondent No.4 and the Court made the following

ORDER

“Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 submits that the matter was compromised.

The revision was filed against the judgment of acquitting the respondent Nos.1 to 3 for the alleged offences under Sections 498-A and 302 of I.P.C.

The defacto complainant preferred this revision questioning the acquittal of the respondent Nos.1 to 3. Legal Aid Counsel was appointed as there was no representation for the petitioner for several times.

In view of the submission of learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3, that the matter was already compromised between the parties, let the presence of petitioner and respondent Nos.1 to 3 before this Court on 01.04.2025. —

Post on 01.04.2025 as last chance.”

SD/- K. KASIRAO ACHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. A.Narsimha Reddy, S/o Bheem Reddy, Aged 40 years, R/o Chinthalkunta (V), Gattu (M), Mahaboobnagar Dist.
2. Parlapally Narsimha Reddy S/o Late Shanker Reddy, 28 years, H.No.9/1052, NTR Colony, Yemmiganur, Kurnool Dist.
3. Parlapally Parvathamma, W/o Late Shanker Reddy, 48 years, H.No.9/1052, NTR Colony, Yemmiganur, Kurnool Dist.

4. Parlapally Lalithamma @ Sree Latha, D/o Late Shanker Reddy, 23 years, H.No.9/1052, NTR Colony, Yemmiganur, Kurnool Dist. (1 to 4

BY SPEED POST- FOR APPEARANCE)

5. One CC to SRI. Y V ANIL KUMAR Advocate [OPUC]
6. One CC to SRI. BUTTA VIJAYA BHASKER Advocate [OPUC]
7. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of A.P[OUT]
8. **One spare copy**

HIGH COURT

DR.YLR,J

DATED:11/03/2025

POST ON 01.04.2025 AS LAST CHANCE

ORDER

CRLRC.No.673 of 2008

APPEARANCE

