



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3330]

TUESDAY, THE 21st DAY OF JULY 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 19100/2026

Between:

1. Y RAMA MOHAN RAO, FG

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, fg

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.HARISH KUMAR RASINENI

Counsel for the Respondent:

1.GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following ORDER:

1. The present writ petition is filed aggrieved by the action of the 4th respondent in passing the order in Rc. No. J1/1062/2026, dated 05.06.2026, without considering the explanation submitted by the petitioner on 20.04.2026, which is illegal, arbitrary, and violative of the principles of natural justice. Hence, the present writ petition.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 3, and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.4.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner purchased a plot admeasuring 300 square yards, bearing Plot No.368 in Sy. Nos.48/2A and 48/2C, situated at Purushothapuram Village, Pendurthi Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, under a registered sale deed vide Document No.798 of 1995, dated 06.02.1995, from his vendor for valid consideration. It was submitted that, since the date of purchase, the petitioner has been in absolute, peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property without any interruption. It was further submitted that respondent No.4—Temple issued a notice dated 08.04.2026 under Section 83(2) of the Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious

Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987, calling upon the petitioner to submit his explanation. Pursuant thereto, the petitioner submitted a detailed explanation on 20.04.2026.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that it would suffice if this Court directs the respondent authorities to consider the explanation submitted by the petitioner dated 20.04.2026 and pass appropriate orders thereon.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that, since the petitioner has already submitted his explanation dated 20.04.2026 pursuant to the show-cause notice, the respondent authorities would consider the same and pass appropriate orders within a reasonable time, in accordance with law.

6. Considering the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court is of the considered view that the writ petition can be disposed of by directing the respondent authorities to consider the explanation dated 20.04.2026 submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereon, in accordance with law. The respondent authorities shall follow the due procedure prescribed under law before passing such orders.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications pending, if any, in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date: 21.07.2026

RMD

147

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.19100 OF 2026

Date: 21.07.2026

RMD