

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE: **W.P.No.17243 of 2025**

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
13.	05.05.2026	<u>DR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> In view of the averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the IA is ordered. Registry is directed to implead the newly added respondents to the writ petition. <u>DR, J</u> <u>W.P.No.17243 of 2025</u> The present writ petition is filed aggrieved by the senility list prepared on 26.06.2025 of three batches of Reserve Sub Inspectors in A.P.S.P battalion who were appointed in the years 1991, 1992 and 1993. 2. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that though the respondents have prepared the seniority list based on the final marks in the selections, but the same is contrary to the final seniority list dated 23.06.1999. According to the said list, the petitioner K. Krishna Murthy was selected under B.C.A	Contd...

		quota as he got 147.79 marks. Whereas the 4th respondent has got 143.72, 5th respondent got 143.46, 6th respondent got 141.46 and 7th respondent got 139.26 marks, but surprisingly in this case, the respondents have placed the petitioner at Sl.No.48 referring the marks as 149.26 and the unofficial respondents were placed at Sl.No.37, 39, 41, and 47 showing their marks as 144.06, 143.46, 142.13 and 139.26. The same is contrary to the earlier seniority list. Only to deprive the petitioner, the present seniority list was prepared reducing the marks placing the unofficial respondents above the petitioner. 3. After notice, the respondent-State has filed the counter. In the counter, it has taken a stand that as the petitioner and another Krishna Murthy were selected in the same selection, whereas the said Krishna Murthy who was appointed under B.C.A category has got 147.79 marks but the petitioner has got only 139 marks. Subsequently, the said Krishna Murthy has relinquished his appointment. To the said fact, the learned counsel for the petitioner requested short time to file reply. 4. I.A.No.1 of 2026 is filed by the persons who are working as Additional Commandants and Commandants those who are eligible and entitled for the promotions to the post of Commandant and D.I.G. 5. Considering the facts of the case, this Court has	
--	--	---	--

	passed an interim order on 10.07.2025 directing the respondent-authorities not to proceed with any further promotions. By virtue of the said orders, the respondent-authorities have stalled the entire promotions including the promotions from the post of Additional Commandants to Commandants and Commandants to D.I.G. Hence, they are directly affected by virtue of the interim order dated 10.07.2025. Hence, the parties have filed the implead petition and vacate stay petitions. 6. Considering the submissions and on perusal of the record, no doubt that there is some distinctions and differences in the seniority list which was prepared and finalized on 23.06.1999 and the present impugned order dated 26.06.2025 was issued. 7. As far as the averments made in the counter is concerned, when it is a factual aspect, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to file reply and also on perusal of the interim order dated 10.07.2025 as the issue involved only with regard to the Assistant Commandants to Additional Commandants, the above said interim order dated 10.07.2025 is modified clarifying that the respondents may effect the promotions to the post of Additional Commandants to Commandants and also all other posts but as far as the post of Assistant Commandant to Additional Commandant, the	
--	--	--

		respondents are directed to keep one post vacant. 8. List after summer vacations, 2026 for filing counter. <u>DR, J</u> Gk	
--	--	---	--

--	--	--	--