

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No. Writ Petition No.18778 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	10.07.2026	<u>SRK, J</u> Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. It is the grievance of the petitioner that he, along with another, purchased Plot Nos. 38 and 45 in Survey No. 542.D, situated at Uravakonda Village, Anantapur District, under a registered Sale Deed. The said plots form part of an approved layout sanctioned by the Director of Town Planning, Hyderabad, <i>vide</i> L.P. No. 608/86. From the date of purchase, the petitioner has been in exclusive possession and enjoyment of the said plots. It is further submitted that, owing to interference from certain local leaders, the petitioner, having no other alternative, erected temporary sheds in the said plots abutting the main road to safeguard the property. Pursuant to the issuance of G.O.Ms. No. 225, dated 12.11.2025, introducing the Building Penalization Scheme (BPS), 2025, the petitioner submitted an application bearing Application No. BPS2025 /ATP/AHUD /URAV/004178, dated 11.12.2025, seeking regularization of the temporary sheds by paying the prescribed initial fee of Rs.10,000/-. The said application is said to be pending consideration before the 2nd respondent.	

In the meanwhile, the 5th respondent issued a notice dated 13.05.2026 alleging that a complaint had been received stating that the petitioner had raised unauthorized constructions near Ramulamma Temple, Anantapur Bypass, Uravakonda, and called upon him to submit an explanation within three (3) days. It is stated that the petitioner submitted his explanation on 18.05.2026, informing the authorities about the pendency of his application under the BPS, 2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, notwithstanding the pendency of the petitioner's application under the BPS Scheme, 2025, the 5th respondent is repeatedly visiting the subject property and threatening to demolish the temporary structures and dispossess the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the respondent(s) seeks time to obtain instructions.

Having regard to the fact that the petitioner claims to be in exclusive possession of Plot No. 38 in Survey No. 542.D, situated at Uravakonda Village, and has admittedly filed an application for regularization before the 2nd respondent under the BPS, 2025, this Court is of the view that the interests of justice would be served by protecting the existing state of affairs until further consideration.

Accordingly, there shall be a direction to maintain *status quo* with regard to the subject property for a period of eight (8) weeks.

Post the matter on **31.07.2026**.

MS

SRK, J

--	--	--	--