

APHC010334342025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1728/2025

Between:

1.M/S SAGITTAL INFRA PROJECTS, DOOR NO 9-2-228/A,
JANGAREDDYGUDEM WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT REP BY ITS
MANAGING PARTNER SRI K. KRISHNA KISHORE

...PETITIONER

AND

1.SRI G SAI PRASAD, FATHER NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE
PETITIONER. THE PRI SECRETARY IRRIGATION AND WATER
RESOURCES (CAD) DEPARTMENT, STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT SECRETARIAT, VEIAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR
DISTRICT, A.P.

2.SRI PEEYUSH KUMAR, FATHER NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE
PETITIONER. THE PRI SECRETARY FINANCE DEPARTMENT.
STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT SECRETARIAT, VEIAGAPUDI,
AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, A.P.

3.R MOHANA RAO, FATHER NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE
PETITIONER. THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER IRRIGATION
CIRCLE, KRISHNA EASTERN DIVISION, VIJAYAWADA, NTR
DISTRICT.

4.B ANJANEYA PRASAD, FATHER NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE
PETITIONER. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER WATER RESOURCES
DEPARTMENT, KRISHNA EASTERN DIVISION, VIJAYAWADA, NTR
DISTRICT.

5.KATTA SOMAIAH, FATHER NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER. PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICER, GOLLAPUDI, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.CH B R P SEKHAR

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.SOMA RAJU YELISETTI

2.SUSHMA YAGANTI

3.T LAKSHMI PADMAJA

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA**CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1728 of 2025****ORDER:**

On 15.10.2025, learned Counsel for the respondents stated that the orders of this Court had been complied with. Pursuant to this submission, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to get instructions whether the orders had in fact been complied with or not.

2. Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents reiterated that the orders of this Court dated 20.06.2024 in W.P.No.9170 of 2024 have been complied with.
4. Considering the submission made by the learned Counsel for the Respondents, and since the orders of this Court have been complied with, this Court is of the view that no further consideration is necessary in this Contempt Case. Accordingly, this Contempt Case is closed. No order as to costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the contempt case shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

24.10.2025

Vns