

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE No : W.P.No.19941 of 2022

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
32.	10.03.2026	<u>GRKP, J</u> Heard Sri Vivek Chandra Sekhar, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner and Sri T. Karthik Chandra Mouli, learned Counsel representing Sri Somisetty Ganesh Babu, learned Standing Counsel for VUDA & MUDA. 2. It is submitted by Sri T. Karthik Chandra Mouli, learned Counsel representing Sri Somisetty Ganesh Babu, learned Standing Counsel for VUDA & MUDA, that the designated Officer heading the Visakhapatnam Metropolitan Region Development Authority is called the Commissioner and not the Chairman. 3. Having so recorded, learned Counsel for the Petitioner is directed to make necessary changes in the Cause-title. Since it has been informed to the Court that the Registry has raised a technical objection that the Deponent of the Counter-Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.3 is the Commissioner and not the Chairman, in view of the corrections in the Cause-title, the Registry is directed to process the Counter-Affidavit before the next date of listing and have it placed on record.	Contd..

		4. List on 08.04.2026. DSV GRKP, J	
--	--	--	--