



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3504]

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 15062/2018

Between:

1. T. SREEMANNARAYANA, S/O T. AMMESWARA RAO, AGED ABOUT
45 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, R/O SY.NO.294, HIRDEHAL VILLAGE,
D.HIRDEHAL MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE (MINES-I)
DEPARTMENT. SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR
DISTRICT.

2. DIRECTOR OF MINES AND GEOLOGY, GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH, IBRAHIMPATNAM, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT.

3. DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MINES AND GEOLOGY, KURNOOL,
KURNOOL DISTRICT.

4. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF MINES AND GEOLOGY, ANANTAPUR,
ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

5. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF MINES AND GEOLOGY, (VIGILANCE),
GOOTY, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

6. REGIONAL VIGILANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OFFICER,
ANANTAPUR, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the proceedings No.1852/Q1/2005 dt. 9-5-2017 issued by Deputy Director of Mines and Geology, Kurnool in

determining the mining lease of the petitioner over an extent of 1.115 hectares in Sy.No.294 of Hirdehal Village, D.Hirdehal Mandal, Anantapuram is wholly illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently declare that the petitioner mining lease is not liable for determination pending disposal of the appeal dt. 28-9-2016 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KIRTHI TEJA KONDAVEETI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MINES AND GEOLOGY (AP)

The court made the following ORDER:-

When the matter is called, Sri Karupolu Narasimha Rao, learned counsel representing the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that in view of the subsequent events occurred in the lis, the cause in the writ petition does not survive for further adjudication. Accordingly, he submits that the matter has become infructuous.

2. Recording the above said submissions, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

There shall be no order as to costs. As a sequel, all pending applications shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date: 18.02.2026
GVK

139

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION No.15062 of 2018

Date: 18.02.2026

GVK