



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 10686/2014

Between:

1.R.S. RAYUDU, CHITTOOR DIST, S/O R.CHENNAPPA, AGED ABOUT
45 YEARS, OCC: CONDUCTOR, R/O KANDUKURU VILLAGE,
PEDDATHIPPA SAMUDRAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.PRL SECY SOCIAL WELFARE CV2 DEPT HYD 3 OT,
DEPARTMENT, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, HYDERABAD.

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR CHITTOOR CHITTOOR DISTRICT, -

3.THE REGIONAL MANAGER A P S R T C, TIRUPATHI, CHITTOOR
DISTRICT.

4.THE DEPOT MANAGER A P S R T C MADANAPALLI DEPOT,
MADANAPALLI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to Issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring Proceedings No.E2/114(3)/2012- MPL-I dated 14-9-2012, issued by 4th respondent as illegal and void in view of the orders of the 1st respondent passed in Memo No. 8596/C.V-2/20 II dated 24-4-2013 and consequently set aside the proceedings No.E2/114(3)/2012-MPL-I dated 14-9-2012, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2014(WPMP 13428 OF 2014

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased suspend the Proceedings No.E2/114(3)/2012 -MPL-I dated 14-9-2012 issued by the 4th respondent, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2016(WVMP 3175 OF 2016

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Vacate the interim orders dated 11.04.2014 in WPMP.No. 13428 of 2014 in W.P.No. 10686 of 2014 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

- 1.MAHADEVA KANTHRIGALA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.ARAVALA RAMA RAO(SC FOR APSRTC KKAC)
- 2.GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE
- 3.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The petitioner joined the respondent corporation as a conductor on regular basis on 01.08.1990. The petitioner claimed reservation under the ST category. Thereafter, there was a complaint with regard to the social status of the petitioner which was adjudicated by the District Collector and the District Collector had cancelled the ST status of the petitioner. The petitioner had filed an appeal before the Government and an interim direction was passed by the government.

2. In pursuance of the order passed by the District Collector, the respondent authorities have passed orders of removal of the petitioner. However on account of the subsequent stay granted by the government, this Court passed interim directions and as of date the petitioner is continuing in service.

3. Considering these submissions, the writ petition is disposed off in terms of the interim orders and the respondents may take appropriate steps or further action subject to the outcome of the appeal pending before the State. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Date: 23.04.2026
SR