



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

**TUESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 9675/2013

Between:

1.G.SAMBASIVA RAO S/O G.VENKATESHWAR RAO, E.NO. 048917
OCC: DY.SUPDT- MECHANIC RETIRED ZONAL WORK SHOP
NELLORE NELLORE DIST.

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.THE APSRTC REP BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN AN, MANAGING
DIRECTOR, BUS BHAVAN MUSHEERABAD, HYDERABAD.
- 2.THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR APSRTC, NELLORE ZONE, NELLORE
DIST.
- 3.THE WORKS MANAGER, APSRTC, ZONAL WORK SHOP, NELLORE
ZONE, NELLORE DIST.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in issuing- the proceedings vide No. EI /693(5)/2011- W/M(N) dt 25.06.2012 treating the retirement as on 31.7.2011 instead of 31.12.2012 as arbitrary, illegal and violative order in WP No. 21695/2011 dt 1.8.2011 and also Article 14 and 16 of Constitution of India consequently direct the respondents to pay the retirement benefits treating the retirement on 31.12.2011

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 12059 OF 2013)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent to pay the retirements benefits while taking into the retirement on 31.12.2011 instead of 31.07.2011 as per notification dated 1.7.2011

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.GUDI MADHUSUDHAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.K SARALA MAHENDER REDDY

2.K. SARALA REDDY(SC FOR APSRTC PNV)

The Court made the following:

Order:-

This writ petition was filed declaring the action of Respondent No. 3 in issuing proceedings vide No. EI/693(5)/2011-W/M(N) dated 25.06.2012, treating the retirement as on 31.07.2011 instead of 31.12.2012, as arbitrary, illegal, and violative of the order in WP No. 21695/2011 dated 01.08.2011.

2. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents has placed on record the proceedings dated 16.02.2013, which were issued in compliance with the directions of this Court.

3. Considering the same, the grievance of the petitioner has been addressed by the respondents, and no further orders are required in this writ petition.

4. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

17.02.2026
PNS