



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1592/2025

Between:

P Suneel Kumar Reddy

...PETITIONER

AND

K Karthik and Others

...CONTEMNOR(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.K SREEDHAR MURTHY

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.Y BALAJI

The Court made the following:

The above contempt case was filed complaining violation of order dated 25.07.2023 in W.P.No.8441 of 2018.

The contempt case was listed on 20.12.2025 and docket order reads thus:

“Sri Rasheed, learned counsel, representing Sri Y. Balaji, learned counsel for the respondents, submitted that the assignment record was not available in the office of the Tahsildar or the District Collector and seeks time to comply with the order dated 25.07.2023 in W.P.No.8841 of 2018 in its letter and spirit.”

Today when the matter is taken up for hearing, Sri Praveen, learned counsel representing Sri Y.Balaji, learned counsel for the respondents, submitted an endorsement *vide* Rc.B.282/2007, dated 08.01.2026. The said endorsement, in the considered opinion of this Court is nothing but reiteration of the averments in the counter affidavit filed by the 1st respondent. *Prima facie*, this Court is of the considered opinion that the respondents violated the order dated 25.07.2023 in W.P.No.8441 of 2018 and the above contempt case needs to be admitted. However, in order to give one more opportunity, the respondents 1 and 2 are directed to appear before this Court in person along with entire record.

List the matter on 12.02.2026.

PVD

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J