

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: W.P.No.18985 of 2021

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
09.	02.07.2026	<u>GTK,J</u> Heard Sri B.Satyanarayanam, learned counsel for the petitioners, Smt.A.Jayanthi, learned Government Pleader for Home appearing for the State, and Sri K.V.S.D.Ravi Teja, learned counsel for the respondent No.13. Sri K.V.S.D.Ravi Teja, learned counsel for the respondent No.13, requested a short adjournment to file counter to substantiate his claim. On the other hand, Smt.A.Jayanthi, learned Government Pleader for Home, would submit that instructions were received and basing on the same, counter will be filed, and requested a short adjournment. Sri B.Satyanarayanam, learned counsel for the petitioners, would submit that though this Court had passed interim orders on 03.09.2021 recording the contention of the learned Assistant Government Pleader that a complaint was lodged by K.Ram Gopal Durga Prasad and that Crime No.509 of 2021 was registered against the 1st petitioner in relation to a car that was sold by the 1st petitioner to the <i>de facto</i> complainant, and that the 1st petitioner did not handover the possession of the said car to <i>de facto</i> complainant. (PTO)	

This Court observed that the matter which is now placed before the Court where the law will take its own course.

Mr.B.Satyanarayana, learned counsel for the petitioners, would submit that the 1st part of the prayer is not answered till date, and requested that the State be directed to file counter answering the 1st part of the prayer.

The matter is adjourned to 16.07.2026, enabling the learned Government Pleader for Home to file counter addressing the 1st part of the prayer.

Sri K.V.S.D.Ravi Teja, learned counsel for the respondent No.13, is also directed to file the counter, if any, by the next date of hearing.

GTK,J

BMS