



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

**TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 12515/2012

Between:

1.M/S.ROCKY RED SANDAL WOOD MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS,HYD,
REP.BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER SRI P.RAKESH REDDY S/O SRI
P.GANGA REDDY A REGISTERED PARTNERSHIP FIRM
(REGD.NO.802/2011) HAVING ITS OFFICE AT 401, JAYABHERI
TOWERS, KOTHAPET, DILSUKHNAGAR, HYDERABAD-500 035

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.UNION OF INDIA SCY COMIND 3, SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF
COMMERCE & INDUSTRY, UDYOGABHAVAN, NEW DELHI-110 011
- 2.THE VISAKHAPATNAM SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONE, REP.BY ITS
DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER ADMINISTRATIVE BLDG.,
DUVVADA, VISAKHAPATNAM-530 046
- 3.APPROVAL COMMITTEE FOR VSEZ, REP.BY ITS CHAIRPERSON
ADMINISTRATIVE BLDG., DUVVADA VISAKHAPATNAM-530 046
- 4.BOARD OF APPROVAL FOR SEZS, REP.BY ITS SECRETARY &
CHAIRMAN, MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY,
UDYOGABHAVAN, NEW DELHI-110 011

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly a Writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in rejecting the application

of the petitioner for establishment of a unit in VSEZ as illegal, arbitrary, violative of principles of natural justice and contrary to the provisions of the Special Economic Zone Act, 2005 and consequently, issue a direction directing respondents to accord permission to the petitioner to set up a unit in respondent No.2 zone, Visakhapatnam

IA NO: 1 OF 2012(WPMP 15689 OF 2012)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider the applications for grant of permission to the petitioner for setting up a unit in respondent No.2 export zone without reference to the proceedings in letter bearing No.9/VSEZ/Misc/Enquiries/2011/3110 dt.22.06.2011, pending disposal of WP.No. /2012

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.J PRABHAKAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL OF INDIA

The Court made the following ORDER:

Though the matter was adjourned on 12.08.2025, 19.08.2025, and 02.09.2025, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the matter was listed on 04.11.2025. On 04.11.2025, the learned counsel for the petitioner requested time, hence, the matter was directed to be listed on 25.11.2025. On that day there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner. Hence, the matter was listed today under the caption "for dismissal".

Today when the matter is called, there is no representation for the petitioner. It appears that the learned counsel for the petitioner is not ready to prosecute the case.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed as non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

Dated: 02.12.2025
KAS

215

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 12515/2012

Dated: 02.12.2025
KAS