



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

I.A.NOs.3 & 4 OF 2025

IN/AND

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 680/2025

Between:

PONNADA SRINIVASA RAO, S/O P. KRISHNA DEVA, HINDU AGE 57
YEARS, R/O D.NO. 14-2-12, PLOT NO. 303, RAHUL RESIDENCY, TIC
POINT, ARILOVA, VISAKAPATNAM.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF AP, AMARAVATHI.
2. ALLA BHASKARA RAO, (DE FACTO COMPLAINANT) S/O LATE
APPA RAO, R/O H.NO. 31-8-16, ALLIPURAM, BANGARUMETTA
KILLIVARI VEEDI, VISAKAPTAM

...RESPONDENT(S):

Revision filed under Section 397/401 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to suspending the Judgement dated 12-11-2020 in C.C. No.113 of 2019 on the file of the II Metropolitan Magistrate Court Visakhapatnam which is confirmed in judgement dated 16-10-2024 in CrI.Appeal No.145 of 2020 in the file of VII Additional District & Sessions Judge Visakhapatnam, pending disposal of above CrI.Revision Case and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to condone the delay of 142 fling the above appeal and be please to pass other orders as deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the in case.

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased by suspending the Judgement dated 12-11-2020 in C.C. No.113 of 2019 on the file of the II Metropolitan Magistrate Court Visakhapatnam which is confirmed in judgement dated 16-10-2024 in CrI.Appeal No. 145 of 2020 in the file of VII Additional District & Sessions Judge Visakhapatnam, pending disposal of above CrI.Revision Case and pass such

IA NO: 3 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to permit the petitioner/complainant record the compromise by compounding the offences under Section 138 & 147NI Act 1881 in CrI.R.C.No.680 of 2025 and acquit the Respondent No.2/Accused herein and pass

IA NO: 4 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to record compromise by compounding the offences under Section 138, &147NI Act 1881 in CrI.R.C No. 680 of 2025 and acquit the Respondent No.2/Accused herein and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

M VINODIN RUTH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
- 2.K JOSEPH

The Court made the following:**COMMON ORDER:**

The Criminal Revision Case under Sections 397 and 401 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C') has been filed by the Revision Petitioner / Accused, impugning the judgment dated 16.10.2024 in Crl.A.No.145 of 2020 on the file of the Court of learned VII Additional Sessions Judge at Visakhapatnam, which was passed confirming the judgment dated 12.11.2020 passed in C.C.No.113 of 2019 by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate at Visakhapatnam for the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (for short 'N.I.Act').

2. The revision petitioner/accused and respondent No.2/complainant along with their respective counsel appeared before this Court, filed applications in I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2026 stating that at the intervention of elders and well-wishers of both parties, the matter was amicably settled, the petitioner agreed to pay an amount of Rs.1,40,000/- towards full and final settlement and that the complainant has no objection to record the compromise and to acquit the Accused by allowing the Criminal Revision Case. Both parties are identified by their respective counsel.

3. Heard Sri M.Vinodin Ruth, learned counsel for the revision petitioner and Sri K.Joseph, learned counsel for the respondent No.2. Ms.K.Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor is in attendance.

4. Respondent No.1/complainant submits that he received an amount of Rs.1,40,000/- by way of Demand Draft from the petitioner/accused towards full and final settlement.

5. Having regard to the above submissions, and in view of the amicable settlement arrived by the both parties in this matter and in the interest of justice, the applications in I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025 are ordered and consequently, the Criminal Revision Case is allowed setting aside the concurrent judgments dated 16.10.2024 in CrI.A.No.145 of 2020 on the file of the Court of learned VII Additional Sessions Judge at Visakhapatnam and in C.C.No.113 of 2019 dated 12.11.2020 passed by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate at Viskhapatnam, for the offence under Section 138 of N.I.Act. Accused is acquitted from the present case and shall be set at liberty, if he is not required in any other cases. Petitioner / Accused shall pay costs of Rs.10,000/- to the High Court Legal Services Committee. The Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee is directed to spend the said amount to meet the necessities of the visually challenged children in the Government Home or any NGO.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 08.05.2026
KRS

277

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

I.A.NOs.3 & 4 OF 2025

IN/AND

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No: 680 OF 2025

Dt.08.05.2026

KRS