



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

FRIDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 16057/2026

Between:

1. DUVVURU NITHYA REDDY,, D/O CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS. OCCUPATION STUDENT (MBBS), R/O.
H. NO. 24/6/125, 3RD STREET, SARASWATHI NAGAR,
DARGAMITTA, NELLORE, ANDHRA PRADESH - 524 003. HALL
TICKET NO. 21 ML02003048, SET C

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, MEDICAL AND FAMILY WELFARE, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, ANDHRA PRADESH - 522 238.
2. DR NTR UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHANCELLOR, DR. NTR UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, VIJAYAWADA, ANDHRA PRADESH - 520 008.
3. THE REGISTRAR, DR. NTR UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, VIJAYAWADA, ANDHRA PRADESH - 520 008.
4. THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS, DR. NTR UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, VIJAYAWADA, ANDHRA PRADESH - 520 008.
5. THE PRINCIPAL, KURNOOL MEDICAL COLLEGE, KURNOOL, ANDHRA PRADESH - 518 002.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of Respondents 2 to 4 in not considering the objections raised by the petitioner to Q.No. 18 (Set C) of the Ophthalmology MCQ Paper, Third Professional MBBS Part-II (NS) Examination, April 2026 as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, unjust and violative of Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents No. 2 to 4 to consider the objections raised by the Petitioner to Q.No. 18 (Set C) of the Ophthalmology MCQ Paper, Third Professional MBBS Part-II (NS) Examination, April 2026, in accordance with the standard textbook evidence placed before them, and to pass a reasoned speaking order thereon, and upon finding that the correct answer to the said question is Option (a) - 9 to

award the Petitioners the mark they are entitled to, reevaluate their marks, and revise their results in Ophthalmology accordingly and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct Respondents No. 2 to 4 to consider the objections already raised by the Petitioner to Q.No. 18 (Set C) of the Ophthalmology MCQ Paper, Third Professional MBBS Part-II (NS) Examination, April 2026, along with the standard textbook evidence enclosed therewith, and to pass a reasoned speaking order thereon, before the conduct of the Ophthalmology Supplementary Examination scheduled on 02.07.2026, so that if the objection of the Petitioner is found to be valid, the Petitioner is not compelled to undergo the said Supplementary Examination on account of an error committed by the Respondents themselves pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. BUGULU SREETEJA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MEDICAL HEALTH FW

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 16057/2026

ORDER:-

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by the action of the respondents in releasing the final answer key for the examination conducted by them, more particularly with regard to Question No.18 (set C) of the Ophthalmology MCQ Paper, Third Professional MBBS Part-II (NS) Examinations, April, 2026, whereby the question relating to number of layers in the neurosensory retina. The petitioner has marked answer as Option(a)-“9”.

2. Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents submits that some textbooks have recognized 10 layers in ‘neurosensory retina’.

3. On the contrary, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, as per the textbooks prescribed for the petitioners, its only 9 layers. It is further submitted that, when there was a dispute with regard to the correct answer in the final key issued by the respondents, the Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.1811 of 2026, directed the respondents to examine the representation of the petitioners therein and to get the papers verified by a different set of examiners for awarding of marks.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be a direction to the respondents to send the subject exam papers of the petitioner to different set of examiners for awarding marks for the answers submitted by the petitioners and for reconsideration of the correct answer

marked by the petitioners insofar the question referred to above. The interim process shall be completed within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed off. There shall no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Dt: 19.06.2026

Note: Issue CC today.
B/o.KGM

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION No.16057 of 2026
Dated 19.06.2026

KGM