

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE NO: CrI.P.No.4871 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	22.06.2026	<u>MRK, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> 1. Heard. 2. Dispensed with, for the present. <u>I.A.No.2 of 2026</u> 3. This application is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to stay all further proceedings including arrest of the petitioners in connection with FIR No.307 of 2026 of PS Pattabhipuram, Guntur District for the offence under Sections 316(5), 318(4), 340(1), 61(2) read with 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. 4. Sri K.B. Ramanna Dora, learned counsel for the petitioners asserts that the petitioners are the Bank employees who are discharging their duties in consonance with the guidelines issued by the regulated authority. He submits that the respondent No.2 who is a retired Additional Superintendent of Police making successive, repeated complaints to the different forums taking different divergent stands according to the situation to maintain case only. 5. Learned counsel for the petitioners brought to the notice of this Court that initially respondent	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		No.2 lodged the FIR No.350 of 2021 of Lalapet Police Station against one Manikanta for the offences under Sections 420, 406, 468, 506 IPC and Section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) of Scheduled Castes-Scheduled Tribes (POA) Act, 1989. In the said case, this Court in Crl.P.No.4033 of 2021 granted interim order on 20.07.2021. 6. Further, the respondent No.2 also filed P.L.C.No.11 of 2026 before the District Legal Services Authority, Guntur arraying the State Bank of India, rep. by its Branch Manager as respondent. The said Authority after verifying all the aspects closed the case on 03.03.2026 (Ex.P7). 7. Later on, respondent No.2 also approached the Superintendent of Police by way of P.G.R.S. dated 06.05.2026 and the said complaint was not taken into consideration by the concerned police due to ground realities. 8. Learned counsel for the petitioners further draw the attention of this Court that the respondent No.2 also approached the District Consumer Forum, Guntur arraying the respondent as a party respondent, wherein the said C.C. was partly allowed by the said Forum and also said orders in F.A.No.511 of 2025 by the Andhra Pradesh State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission at Vijayawada and the matter is sub-judice.	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		9. Thereafter, on 29.05.2026 the respondent No.1/Additional Superintendent of Police (retired) with all articulation taking divergent stand filed present FIR against the State Bank of India authorities more particularly, in their official capacity. 10. Basing upon the above material on record, the learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the respondent No.2 who is a retired person is habituated to file successive petition in different forums only to settle his private scores with the Bank officials. 11. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also well settled principle held in <i>Jayahari & Another Vs. State of Kerala & Another</i> (Criminal Appeal No.128 of 2022 dated 25.01.2022) by the Hon'ble Apex Court, there shall be interim stay all further proceedings in connection with FIR in Crime No.307 of 2026 of PS Pattabhipuram, Guntur District, for a period of twelve (12) weeks. <u>Crl.P.No.4871 of 2026</u> Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice to the respondent No.2 through speed post with acknowledgment due and file proof of service into the Registry. Post the matter on 31.08.2026. MRK, J RMR	

