

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE: **Crl. P. No. 4836 of 2026**

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
02.	19.06.2026	<p><u>MRK, J</u></p> <p><u>Crl.P.No.4836 of 2026</u></p> <p>Heard Ms. M.L.Neelima, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the petitioner mainly stresses that the petitioner is in no way connected to the matrimony case between A.1 and the 2nd respondent/ <i>de-facto</i> complainant. She asserts that A.1 is the husband of the 2nd respondent/<i>de-facto</i> complainant; A.2 is the mother of A.1; A.3 is the sister of A.1; A.4 is the husband of A.3 and the petitioner/A.5 is the brother of A.1.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner/A.5 is married individual and residing separately with his family.</p> <p>4. She also states that even as per the FIR, there are only omnibus and sweeping allegations against the petitioner/A.5.</p> <p>5. She submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment of the Apex Court held in <i>K. Subba Rao Vs. State of Telangana</i>¹.</p>	

¹ (2018) 14 SCC 452

6. On a perusal of the material on record, it is evident that the petitioner/A.5 is a distant relative and there are only omnibus allegations present against him in the FIR.

7. Having regard to the above submissions made, coupled with the legal position held in ***Geddam Jhansi Vs. State of Telangana***² and ***K. Subba Rao*** (supra), there shall be stay of all further proceedings against the petitioner/A.5 in Crime No.132 of 2026 of Tadipatri Police Station, Ananthapuram District., for a period of ten (10) weeks.

8. Post on 14.08.2026.

9. Meanwhile, learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on the 2nd respondent by speed post and file proof of service in the Registry.

I.A.No.1 of 2026

Dispensed with, for the present.

GVK

MRK, J

² 2025 SCC OnLine SC 263