

[3330]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

TUESDAY ,THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 15285 OF 2024



Between:

A. Sankar Rao, S/o. Suri Naidu Aged about 54 years, Occ Civil Engineering Contractor Special Class D. No 37-11-65/3, GF - IV, Vigneswara Apartments - I Visakhapatnam

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Ap, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Transport, (R and B) Department, AP Secretariat, Velagapudi, Guntur District
2. The Chief Engineer (R and B), R and B Buildings, MG Road Vijayawada
3. The Superintending Engineer, R and B Buildings, Visakhapatnam
4. The Executive Engineer, R and B Buildings, Visakhapatnam

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents in not revoking the termination of the agreement entered by me with the 3rd

respondent vide L.S. CR Agreement No 36/2019-2020, dt 21.12.2019, in spite of the letter vide Letter No DEE3 /AE6/ CTS / 555 / 2016 dt 15.05.2024 by the 2nd respondent to the 1st respondent, to consider my request for revoking the termination, as illegal, arbitrary and against principles of natural justice, and consequently direct the respondent no.1 to act upon the letter vide Letter No DEE3 /AE6/ CTS / 555 / 2016 dt 15.05.2024 and to revoke the termination of agreement dt 21.12.2019.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent no. 1 to take immediate action upon the letter vide Letter No: DEE3 /AE6/ CTS / 555 / 2016 dt: 15.05.2024 submitted by the 2nd respondent, to consider revoking the termination of the agreement dt: 21.12.2019, pending disposal of the above writ petition

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dt.17.03.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of MSVS SUDHA RANI Advocate for the Petitioner, GP FOR ROADS BUILDINGS for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the Court made the following.

ORDER

This Court ordered appearance of respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 for not filing counter-affidavit. Respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 appeared before this Court.

Learned Government Pleader for Transport requested two weeks time to file counter-affidavit.

For filing-affidavit, list the matter after two (02) weeks, failing which, respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 shall present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- N.NAGAMMA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

5. The Chief Engineer (R and B), R and B Buildings, MG Road Vijayawada
6. The Superintending Engineer, R and B Buildings, Visakhapatnam
7. The Executive Engineer, R and B Buildings, Visakhapatnam (1-3 by SPEED POST)
1. One CC to SRI. MSVS SUDHA RANI Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR ROADS BUILDINGS ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
3. One spare copy

ksr

HIGH COURT

TRR, J

DATED:31.03.2026

list the matter after two (02) weeks

ORDER

WP.No.15285 of 2024

CONDITIONAL APPEARANCE

