

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE: **Writ Petition No.15983 of 2026**

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
3.	10.8.2026	<u>RNT, J & PKC, J</u> On 22.06.2026, the following order was passed : <i>“Heard Sri P.Raghavendra Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner appearing through virtual mode.</i> <i>2. The present petition has been filed for a Writ of Mandamus directing implementation of the order of the Tribunal in O.A.No.9967 of 2011 dated 26.06.2012.</i> <i>3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that recently, in a batch of writ petitions on the same subject, some order has been passed by the Hon’ble Apex Court and therefore, the present petition has been filed belatedly.</i> <i>4. Objection has been raised by the respondents that the order was passed in the year 2012 and the petitioner has approached this Court after almost 14 years.</i> <i>5. Let the counter-affidavit be filed.</i> <i>6. It is open for the respondents to raise the plea of laches in filing the petition.</i> <i>7. List after four weeks.”</i> 2. On 20.07.2026, further time was granted to file the counter-affidavit. 3. The counter-affidavit has not been filed. 4. Today also, a request has been made for grant of time as a last opportunity to file the counter-affidavit. 5. The matter pertains to the grant of pension and pensionary benefits to the petitioner.	(cont.)

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		6. Previously, the petitioner filed O.A.No.9967 of 2011 on the file of the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal at Hyderabad (in short, 'APAT') with regard to regularization of his services. The same was disposed of along with other O.As., <i>vide</i> common order dated 26.06.2012, on similar lines as in O.A.No.3931 of 2012, dated 08.06.2012, directing the present respondents in the following terms: <i>“In the result, the application is allowed. The respondents are directed to regularize the services of the applicant w.e.f 25.11.1993 as per G.O.Ms.No.212, Finance and Planning Department, dated 22.04.1994. However, the applicant is not entitled for arrears of pay and he is eligible only for notional fixation of pay. No order as to costs.”</i> 7. Learned counsel for the petitioner, appearing through virtual mode, submits that in spite of the said order, which attained finality, the pension has not been paid in terms of the said order by fixation of notional pay and, consequently, the present writ petition was filed for directions to the respondents to implement the order in O.A.No.9967 of 2011. 8. It transpires from the aforesaid facts of the case that, in spite of the order in O.A.No.9967 of 2011 having attained finality, the same has not been implemented by the respondents, and that too in respect of fixation of pay and so proper pension and pensionary benefits have not been extended to the petitioner compelling him to approach this Court for implementation of the order of the Tribunal.	

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		9. As a last opportunity, list on 24.08.2026. 10. If the counter-affidavit is not filed before that date, the 1st respondent-Principal Secretary, MA and UD Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, shall appear before this Court to explain why the pension has not been fixed, in terms of the order dated 26.06.2012 in O.A.No.9967 of 2011. RNT, J PKC, J RPD	