



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3504]

**MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO
KUNCHEAM**

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2334/2018

Between:

1.K. A. RAMABHADRUDU, WORKED AS CHEIF
EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE KALLUR PRIMARY
AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD. KALLUR
MANDAL, KURNOOL DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.SRI K PULLAIAH, Chairman / Person in charge Kallur
Primary Agriculture Cooperative Society Ltd., Kallur mandal,
Kurnool Dist

...CONTEMNOR

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to call the respondent herein and punish him suitably under Section 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for willful disobedience of the orders of this Hon'ble Court passed in WPMP No. 43212 of 2017 in WP No. 34772 of 2017 dated 20-10-2017 in the interest of justice and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to condone the delay of 121 days in representation of CC(SR) No. 22660 of 2018 in the interest of justice or to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to implead 2nd respondent in the above contempt petition C.C.No.2334 of 2018 and all the connected miscellaneous applications, in the interest of justice failing which the petitioner will suffer serious loss and damage.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.M/S BHARADWAJ ASSOCIATES

Counsel for the Contemnor:

1.V R REDDY KOVVURI

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2334 of 2018

The Court made the following Order:

On 12.03.2026, 07.04.2026 and 15.04.2026, as there was no representation for the petitioner, the matter was directed to be listed to today under the caption “for dismissal”

2. Today, though the matter is listed under the caption “for dismissal”, none represented the petitioner.

3. In that view of the matter, this Contempt Case is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

Interlocutory applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date: 27.04.2026
PSA