

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE No.A.S.No.751 of 2003

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
21	20.10.2021	<u>RRR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2003</u> For the reasons set out in the affidavit, I.A.No.1 of 2021 is allowed and the filing of certified copy of the order dated 10.12.2020 is dispensed with. <u>RRR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.2 of 2021</u> In this appeal, the appellant was being represented by the learned counsel Sri A.Krishnam Raju. There was a change of vakalat wherein Sri K.Krishnam Raju, had given up vakalat and Sri S.Subba Reddy, learned counsel had filed vakalat. However, when the matter came up before this Court, the name of Sri S.Subba Reddy was not being reflected in the case list and as such, there was no representation for the appellant when the matter came up before the Court. In view of the non prosecution of the appeal, this Court by an order dated 10.1.2.2020 was pleased to dismiss the appeal on default. In view of the fact that the appellant could not be represented by the petitioner for reasons which are not attributable to either the learned counsel or the party, it would be appropriate to set aside the said order dated 10.12.2020. Accordingly, the appeal is restored to file. Post on 27.10.2021. Registry is directed to remove the name of Sri K.Krishnam Raju. <u>RRR, J</u> RJS	

--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--