



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

WEDNESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 19611/2020

Between:

Kalathuru Kiran Kumar Reddy

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of A P and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V SUDHAKAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.SOURI BABU DUPPATI

2.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

The Court made the following order:

The above writ petition was filed to declare the action of respondent No.3 in laying BT road in mango garden of the petitioner in an extent of Ac.0.65 cents in Sy.No.92-2 of Palagunta Village, Chinna Etipakam Revenue Village, Satyavedu Manda, Chittoor District, as illegal and arbitrary.

A counter-affidavit was filed by respondent No.3 – Executive Engineer in March, 2021. In para No.4 of the counter-affidavit, it was pleaded that the Panchayat Raj Department has taken up the work to upgrade the old existing road to BT standards in the interest of public in order to upgrade the transport facilities to the public.

The writ petition was listed on 17.12.2025. The Coordinate Bench of this Court has directed the learned District Collector to direct the concerned to survey and submit the report.

Today, when the matter is taken up, Ms. Usha, learned Assistant Government Pleader submitted the letter *vide* Roc.A1/10/2026, dated 19.01.2026. In the said proceedings, it was mentioned as follows:

“1. The Sy.No.92 of Chinnaetipakam Village of Satyavedu Mandal has been classified as G-D and recorded in the remarks column as Dotted Land with an extent of Ac. 4.02 Cents as per the A-Register of the Village. Subsequently it was sub-divided as Sy.No.92-1 Ext Ac.0.09 cents, Sy.No.92/2 Ext Ac. 3.93Cents. Further it is submitted that, the Sy.No. 92-2 has been regularized from Dotted Land to Patta Land for an extent of Ac. 3.93Cents in the name of Sri K.Kiran Kumar Reddy, S/o Subba Reddy, vide Proceedings of the District Collector, Chittoor in Roc.E4/09/STVD/2018; Dt. 14.12.2018. Hence, the Sy.No. 92-2 is sub-divided as per the enjoyment given surveyor of this mandal as furnished below.

1. Sy.No. 92-2A Ext Ac.1.48Cents,
2. Sy.No. 92-2B Ext Ac.2.00Cents
3. Sy.No. 92-2C Ext Ac.0.45Cents (Road)

2. Further, there is no Mango trees exist at the place of constructed BT road before it laid as per the Google maps available.”

Thus, as seen from the proceedings, a road was laid in an extent of Ac.0.45 cents in Sy.No.92-2C. In fact, the petitioner purchased an extent of Ac.3.93 cents in Sy.No.92/2 under a registered sale deed dated 21.06.2007 and the name of the petitioner was updated in Record of Rights. Those documents were filed along with the writ petition as Exs.P2 to P4.

Prima-facie, this Court is of the considered opinion that in an extent of Ac.0.45 cents, the land belonged to the petitioner, a road was laid, without

following the procedure mandated under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.2 – District Collector shall file a comprehensive counter-affidavit.

List the matter on 25.02.2026.

Dated: 11.02.2026
TVN

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J