


**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF OCTOBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY**

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND
WRIT PETITION NO: 19611 OF 2020**



Between:

Kalathuru Kiran Kumar Reddy, S/o Subba Reddy, Age 40 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o. D.No.8-51, Raghavaiahpet, Sullurpet Town, SPS Nellore District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of A.P., Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Guntur District.
2. The District Collector, (Panchayat Wing) Chittoor, Chittoor District.
3. The Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Department, Chennareddy Colony, Tirupathi, Chittoor District
4. K.Chandrasekhar Reddy, Executive Engineer Panchayat Raj Department, Chennareddy Colony, Tirupathi, Chittoor District

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri V.SUDHAKAR REDDY presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ, more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents particularly respondent No.3 in laying the BT Road in the mango garden of the petitioner in an extent of Ac.0-65 cents situated in Sy.No.92-2 of Palagunta Village of Chinna Eetipakam Revenue Village, Satyavedu Mandal, Chittoor District in highhanded manner without acquiring the said land and without paying compensation to the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, without any authority of law or jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.40,00,000/- towards compensation and damages to the petitioner with interest.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri V.Sudhakar Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner and GP for Panchayat Raj for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

K.Chandrasekhar Reddy, Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Department, Chennareddy Colony, Tirupathi, Chittoor District

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an Advocate, as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted, within four weeks, on which date the case stands posted for hearing.

**The Court made the following:
ORDER:**

"Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj takes notice for respondent no.1 to 3.

Issue notice to the 4th respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the 4th respondent by RPAD and file proof of service.

Post after four (04) weeks."

Sd/- B. NARSING RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To,

1. K.Chandrasekhar Reddy, Executive Engineer Panchayat Raj Department, Chennareddy Colony, Tirupathi, Chittoor District (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
2. One CC to Sri. V.Sudhakar Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP for Panchayat Raj, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
4. One spare copy

MM

HIGH COURT

DEVJ

DATED:22/10/2020

NOTE: POST AFTER FOUR WEEKS

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.19611 of 2020

