

[3457]


IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N



IA No. 2 OF 2025

IN

CRLP NO: 6082 OF 2025

Between:

Mantena Anjaneya Raju, S/o Late Subba Raju, Aged about 83 years,
Occ Business, R/o A-32, Hill Ridge Villas, ISB Roadm National Games
Village, IVRCL Gachibowli Serilingampalli, Hyderabad 500032

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

(Petitioner in CRLP 6082 OF 2025

on the file of High Court)

AND

1. Central Bureau of Investigation, Represented by its Spl Public
Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh.
2. Prafulla Kumar Behera, S/o Unknown to Petitioner, Age: Unknown, Occ:
General Manager & Senior Regional Head , IDBI Bank, First Floor,
Pydah Chambers, VIP Road, Siripuram, Vishakapatnam 53003

...Respondents

(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner :SRI VIMAL VARMA VASI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 :SRI P S P SURESH KUMAR, Spl.

Public Prosecutor for CBI

Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. (under section 482 of Cr.P.C),
is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in
support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all

proceedings including appearance of the Petitioners in C.C.No.97/2025 on the file of ON THE FILE OF V ADDL JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE CUM V ADDL METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE VIJAYAWADA, Pending disposal of CRLP No. 6082 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER:

“Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is arrayed as accused No.1 in C.C.No.97 of 2025 on the file of V Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-V Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, for the alleged offences under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 read with 120-B of IPC.

It is submitted that the petitioner has resolved the loan account dues with IDBI Bank, and 'No Due' certificates were issued by the bank. It is also submitted that in a similar case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the matter of **Tarina Sen vs. Union of India and another**, allowed quashing of the proceedings where the parties had resolved the matter, holding that it was a financial transaction. In the present case, the petitioner has resolved all issues relating to the dues.

Considering the same, there shall be stay of all further proceedings against the petitioner herein in C.C.No.97 of 2025 on the file of V Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-V Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada.”

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-SK MD RAFI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The V Additional Junior Civil Judge Cum V Addl Metropolitan Magistrate Vijayawada
2. Prafulla Kumar Behera, S/o Unknown to Petitioner, Age: Unknown, Occ: General Manager & Senior Regional Head , IDBI Bank, First Floor, Pydah Chambers, VIP Road, Siripuram, Vishakapatnam 53003 (By RPAD)
3. One CC to SRI. VIMAL VARMA VASI REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI. P S P SURESH KUMAR, Spl. Public Prosecutor for CBI Advocate [OPUC]
5. One spare copy

KJ

HIGH COURT

HN,J

DATED:20/06/2025

POST THE MATTER ON 30.06.2025, ALONG WITH CRL.P.NO.5394 OF 2025.

ORDER

IA No. 2 OF 2025

IN

CRLP.No.6082 of 2025

STAY

