

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JUNE  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

: PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.C.D.SEKHAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 14901 OF 2025



Between:

Sri Gangireddy Venkata Ratnam, W/o Gangireddy Anji Reddy, Aged about 40 years, Occ: Fair Price Shop Dealer, Shop No. 0813024, R/o.Bhotlapalem Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Consumer Affairs Civil Supplies Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kanigiri Division, Prakasam District.
3. The Tahsildar, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ Order or direction declaring the action of the respondents particularly the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in cancelling the authorization of the petitioner vide Rc.E/318/2025 dated 30.05.2025, is illegal, arbitrary and violation of Article 14, 19 21 of Indian Constitution and Consequently, set aside the same by continuing the

petitioner as Fair price dealer for shop No. 0813024, situated at Bhotlapalem Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District

**IA NO: 1 OF 2025**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the proceedings issued by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent vide Rc.E/318/2025 dated: 30.05.2025, by continuing the petitioner as a Fair price dealer for shop no. 0813024 situated at Bhotlapalem Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District, Pending disposal of WPNo.14901 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K Srinivas, Advocate for the Petitioner, and of GP for Civil Supplies for the Respondents, the Court made the following.

**ORDER**

On perusal of the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, it is clear that while cancelling the authorization of the petitioner, the explanation offered by the petitioner was not taken into consideration despite the fact that she has categorically stated that the commodities were distributed by the MDU operator during the relevant period. Apart from the same, the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent also cancelled the authorization of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner's husband involved in criminal activities.

Having considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court, *prima facie*, is of the opinion that the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent did not consider the explanation offered by the petitioner.

In view of the same, there shall be an interim suspension of the impugned order vide Rc.E/318/2025, dated 30.05.2025, issued by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent.

Post the matter after four (4) weeks.

In the meantime, the respondents are directed to file counter affidavit.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- K.TATA RAO  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

For A

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, State of Andhra Pradesh, Consumer Affairs Civil Supplies Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kanigiri Division, Prakasam District.
3. The Tahsildar, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District (1 to 3 by RPAD)
4. One CC to Sri K Srinivas, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP for Civil Supplies, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

TCDS, J

DATED: 24/06/2025

POST THE MATTER AFTER FOUR (4) WEEKS

ORDER

WP.NO.14901 OF 2025

INTERIM SUSPENSION

