

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: W.P.No.14901 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	24.06.2025	<u>TCDS,J</u> On perusal of the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 passed by the 2nd respondent, it is clear that while cancelling the authorization of the petitioner, the explanation offered by the petitioner was not taken into consideration despite the fact that she has categorically stated that the commodities were distributed by the MDU operator during the relevant period. Apart from the same, the 2nd respondent also cancelled the authorization of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner's husband involved in criminal activities. Having considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court, <i>prima facie</i>, is of the opinion that the 2nd respondent did not consider the explanation offered by the petitioner. In view of the same, there shall be an interim suspension of the impugned order <i>vide</i> Rc.E/318/2025, dated 30.05.2025, issued by the 2nd respondent.	

		Post the matter after four (4) weeks. In the meantime, the respondents are directed to file counter affidavit. <u>TCDS,J</u> KAS	
--	--	--	--