

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE No.W.P.No.14991 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
16.	19.02.2026	<u>GRKP, J</u> Heard Sri P. Nagendra Reddy, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner, Sri S. Vijay Kumar, learned Counsel representing Sri A.S.C. Bose, learned Standing Counsel for Respondent No.2, Sri Shaik Asif, learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent No.3 and Sri B. Appara Rao, learned Standing Counsel for Respondent No.5. <u>I.A.No.1 of 2025</u> This Application is filed seeking leave of this Court to file Counter Affidavit. 2. Having regard to the contents of the Affidavit filed in support of this Application, this Court deems it appropriate to allow this Application. 3. Accordingly, I.A.No.1 of 2025 is allowed. 4. The Counter Affidavit is taken on record. GRKP, J	(Contd..)

		<u>I.A.No.2 of 2025</u> This Application is filed seeking leave of this Court to file Reply Affidavit to the Counter Affidavit filed by Respondent No.2. 2. Having regard to the contents of the Affidavit filed in support of this Application, this Court deems it appropriate to allow this Application. 3. Accordingly, I.A.No.2 of 2025 is allowed. 4. The Reply Affidavit is taken on record. <u>GRKP, J</u> <u>W.P.No.14991 of 2024</u> Para 3 of the Counter Affidavit filed by Respondent No.3 indicates that the Respondent No.3 (Indian Pentecostal Church) has been built in the year 1999. Having noted the same, there shall be a direction to Respondent No.3 to file an Affidavit whether the Respondent No.3 has obtained Building Permission from Respondent No.2, either before or after undertaking the construction in the year 1999. 2. Respondent No.2 is also directed to file an Affidavit confirming whether the	
--	--	--	--

		Respondent No.3 has made any Application for consideration of the Building Plan and for its approval and whether any such approval has been granted or not. 3. Let the Affidavits be filed by Respondent Nos.2 & 3 within one week from today. 4. It is stated by Sri S. Vijay Kumar, learned Counsel representing Sri A.S.C. Bose, learned Standing Counsel for Respondent No.2, that in the month of April/May, 2025, this Court had orally directed the Respondent No.2 to produce the entire record. 5. In compliance with the oral direction of the Court, the original record has been produced before the Court. 6. Learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner is granted liberty to go through the said record and make note of the documents, which he requires and submit the list to the Court on the next date. 7. The original file submitted by the Ongole Municipal Corporation is returned back to Sri S. Vijay Kumar, learned Counsel representing Sri A.S.C. Bose, learned Standing Counsel for Respondent No.2, to enable the Corporation to file an Affidavit.	
--	--	---	--

		8. List on 03.03.2026. Vns <u>GRKP, J</u>	
--	--	--	--