

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: W.P. No.15448 of 2026

3

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
04.	24.06.2026	<u>BSB, J</u> Notice to the respondent No.5. The learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal service of notice to the respondents through speed post and file proof thereof. Post on 20.07.2026. <u>B.S.BHANUMATHI,J</u> <u>I.A.No.01 of 2026</u> This petition is filed to suspend the entry / mutation in the revenue records made in respect of the land Ac.0.25 cents in Sy.No.538/A, with katha No.1142 of Bhemaganipalle Revenue village, Punganur Mandal, Annamayya District. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the subject land of Ac.0.98 cents in S.No.538, Bhemaganipalle Revenue village, Punganur Mandal, Erstwhile Chittoor District belongs to the father of the petitioner having purchased the same under the sale deeds dated 17.12.1990 and 23.06.1993 as per which	Contd.,

<u>SL. NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
	24.06.2026	mutation was effected in the revenue records and pattadar pass books and title deeds were issued and that after the death of the father of the petitioner, the name of the mother of the petitioner was mutated and later the name of the petitioner was mutated as sole successor of his father. He further submitted that the subject land was mortgaged and loans were availed as indicated in the petition and that a suit was also filed by the petitioner vide O.S.No.59 of 2020 on the file of the Court Prl.Junior Civil Judge, Punganur for permanent injunction against three persons who include the respondent No.5 as the defendant No.3 and an interim injunction was granted vide I.A.No.135 of 2020 on 04.02.2026 in favour of the petitioner later it was extended until further orders on 05.03.2020 which is still in force. It is further submitted that the petitioner filed another writ petition W.P.No.25536 / 2016 against U.Sudarshan Raju as he was trying to interfere with the possession and this High Court granted interim suspending the order passed by the Tahsildar, Punganur. The grievance of the petitioner is that when the petitioner enquired as K.Venkata Ramana came to the subject land with the henchmen and trying to dispossess the petitioner to an extent of Ac.0.25 cents, the	<i>Contd.,</i>

<u>SL. NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
	24.06.2026	petitioner came to know that entry in the revenue record was mutated in favour of K.Venkata Ramana without notice to the petitioner. Before that the petitioner filed W.P.No.4498 of 2025 against K.Venkata Ramana challenging the high handed action trying to dispossess the petitioner. This Court granted interim order on 25.02.2026 directing all the parties not to create any third party right until further orders which is still in force. Under these circumstances, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that out of the remaining land, again mutation was made to another extent of Ac.0.25 cents in favour of the respondent No.5 without notice to the petitioner and they keep going on mutating the entries without notice to the petitioner and the third parties alienate the properties acting upon the mutated entries, the petitioner would be put to loss and hardship. The learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue placed on record a copy of written instructions of the respondent No.4 dated NIL.06.2026 stating that a manual pattadar passbook was issued in favour of the respondent No.5 in the year 2014 vide khata No.1142 and basing on the said book, the entry in the online data was made on 19.04.2026 and therefore,	Contd.,

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
	24.06.2026	there is no question of cancellation of the pattadar pass book. It is further stated that title dispute shall be decided in the suit and writ petition does not lie. Perused the record including the documents submitted along with the writ petition. In view of the submissions on both sides and the statement in the affidavit supported by the documents filed along with it, it is necessary to temporarily protect the interest of the petitioner before service of notice on the respondent No.5 for the reasons submitted during the course of arguments noted above. As such, interim order prayed is granted till 20.07.2026. B.S.BHANUMATHI,J GRL	

