



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NOs: 15458 & 15145 of 2026

W.P.No.15458 of 2026

Between:

Talari Linga Raju and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The Union Of India and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. MARELLA RADHA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

2.

W.P.No.15145 of 2026

Between:

G. Keerthi Chandana, and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The Union Of India and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.P NARASIMHULU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.J U M V PRASAD (CENTRAL GOVERNMENT COUNSEL)

3.

The Court made the following ORDER:

Ms. Marella Radha and Sri P. Narasimhulu, learned counsel for the petitioners, contended in one voice that the petitioners appeared for the entrance examination for admission into Class VI for the Academic Year 2026–27 in the respondent school and qualified in the said examination. Consequently, admission certificates were issued to the petitioners in W.P.No.15145 of 2026 on 13.12.2025. Thereafter, by proceedings dated 27.05.2026, the seats allotted to the petitioners in the said writ petition were cancelled.

Learned counsels further submitted that, even in the selection list issued on 10.03.2026, the names of the petitioners in both the writ petitions were found place and that, in the month of April 2026, they were called upon to submit documents for verification.

Insofar as the petitioners in W.P. No. 15458 of 2026 are concerned, they have already joined the school; however, they are not being permitted to continue their studies and attend classes.

Learned counsel further submitted that, if the petitioners are not permitted to attend classes, their right to education would be adversely affected and they would be put to irreparable loss and hardship besides loss of precious academic year. Therefore, they prayed for appropriate interim orders protecting the interests of the petitioners pending this Writ Petition.

On the other hand, Sri J.U.M.V. Prasad, learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos. 2, 4 and 5, submitted that, in view of Clauses 4(1)(d) and 4.6 of the Prospectus, the petitioners are ineligible for admission. He further submitted that the school has already commenced its academic session, classes are being conducted, and all the seats have been filled. Therefore, according to him, the writ petitions have become infructuous, however, sought time for filing counter.

Perused the record and considered the submissions.

Prima facie, a point has been made out for consideration and a detailed counter is required to be invited for effective resolution of the same, which would take considerable time. In the meantime, if all the seats are filled, the writ petition would become infructuous and hence this Court is inclined to pass the following interim order.

If seats are available as on today, the authorities shall keep two seats vacant till disposal of the writ petitions.

The respondents are directed to file counter-affidavit within one (01) week positively, along with particulars regarding the availability of seats.

Post on 24.06.2026 in the Motion List.

RAVI CHEEMALAPATI, J

Date: 17th June, 2026

Note: Issue CC by today
B/o JLV