



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 15374/2026

Between:

1. GORLAGUTTA RAMACHANDRUDU,, S/O. G LAKSHMAIAH, AGED 59 YEARS, R/O. 11-116-1, OWK VILLAGE AND MANDAL, NANDYALA DIST, -518122
2. MEKALA MANOHAR,, S/O. M MADDAYYA, AGED 52 YEARS, R/O. 9-71, GOLLA PEA, OWK VILLAGE AND MANDAL NANDYALA DIST. – 518122

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, PR AND RD DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI VILLAGE, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH. 522237
2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI VILLAGE, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH. 522237
3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, NANDYALA DISTRICT, COLLECTORATE, NANDYALA TOWN AND DISTRICT 518122
4. THE TAHSILDHAR, OWK MANDAL, OFFICE OF THE TAHSILDHAR, OWK, NANDYALA DISTRICT 518122
5. THE GRAMA PANCHAYAT, OWK VILLAGE AND MANDAL, NANDYALA DISTRICT REP BY ITS PANCHAYAT SECRETARY 518122

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the actions of the Respondents, particularly the 5th Respondent in trying to interfere with the possession of the Petitioners house plots located at Plot No. 152 and 151 at Sy. No. 1769C/1A of Owk Village and Mandal of Nandyala District as without issuing any notice and without following due procedure and without duly invoking the provisions of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 as illegal, arbitrary, violative of principles of natural justice and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India consequently direct the Respondents to strictly adhere to law and due procedure under law and pass any other order or orders that may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 5th Respondent to not interfere with the possession of the Petitioners' house plots located at Plot No. 152 and 151 at Sy. No. 1769C/1A of Owk Village and Mandal of Nandyala District; and pass any other order(s) that this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. VARUN BYREDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

::ORDER ::

The above writ petition was filed to declare the action of respondent No.5 in attempting to dispossess the petitioners from the house plot Nos. 152 & 151 in Sy.No.1769C/1A of Owk Village and Mandal, Nandyala District, without issuing any notice, as illegal and arbitrary.

2. Heard Sri Varun Byreddy, learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri Panuku Rajesh Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj, appeared for respondent No.1, Sri M. Chandra Sekhar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, appeared for respondents 2 to 4 and Ms. Kavitha, learned counsel representing Sri M. Sudhir, learned Standing Counsel, appeared for respondent No.5.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the 1st petitioner purchased the Plot No.152, admeasuring 93.79 Square Yards in Sy.No.1769C/1A under a registered sale deed dated 29.02.2024. The 2nd petitioner purchased the Plot No.151, admeasuring 108.60 Square Yards in Sy.No.1769C/1A under a registered sale deed dated 27.02.2024. Since then, the petitioners have been in possession and enjoyment of the open plots. The Panchayat Secretary of respondent No.5, without issuing any notice, is attempting to dispossess the petitioners.

4. Ms. Kavitha, learned counsel representing Sri M. Sudhir, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.5, on instructions, would submit that the Panchayat Development Officer- respondent No.5 issued two notices dated 06.06.2026 to the petitioners calling upon them to submit documents enabling the authority to lay CC road and construct a drainage. She would also submit that respondent No.5 never attempted to dispossess the petitioners. Copies of notices were handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners across the bar.

5. In reply, Sri Varun Byreddy, learned counsel for the petitioners' would submit that the petitioners will submit an explanation along with the relevant documents, within one week from today. The same is recorded.

6. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping the writ petition pending, inviting a counter-affidavit will not serve any purpose. Hence, the Writ Petition is disposed of at the stage of admission with the consent of

learned counsel appearing on either side, directing the petitioners to submit an explanation along with the relevant documents to the notices issued on 06.06.2026 by the Panchayat Development Officer – respondent No.5, within seven (07) days from today. Thereupon, the Panchayat Development Officer shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders, strictly as per the Rules, if necessary, by providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners. The authorities shall not take any coercive steps concerning the aforementioned plot numbers without following due procedure. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 11.06.2026
KBN/TVN

8

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION No.15374 OF 2026

Date: 11.06.2026
KBN/TVN