



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 15318/2026

Between:

Basu Vijayakumari

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. ANAND KUMAR KOCHIRI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP

The Court made the following:

Heard Sri Anand Kumar Kochiri, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development for the 1st respondent and Smt. V.Sireesha Rani, learned Standing counsel for the 2nd respondent.

Assailing the notice *vide* ROC.No.278/2024/G1 dated 15.04.2026 (Ex.P1), issued by the Commissioner of the 2nd respondent, the petitioner filed the above writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that no survey was conducted in the presence of the petitioner or the tenant. The structure was constructed in the year 2009 pursuant to permission granted *vide*

B.A.No.97/08-09/201/2009. He would also submit that Ex.P1 notice was served on the tenant on 06.06.2026.

Learned Standing counsel seeks time to file counter-affidavit.

A perusal of Ex.P1 does not indicate that the land was surveyed in the presence of the petitioner or the tenant.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the Commissioner of the 2nd respondent shall not take any coercive steps pursuant to Ex.P1 for a period of four (04) weeks.

The petitioner shall submit an explanation by annexing all the documents to the Commissioner of the 2nd respondent.

List the matter on 25.06.2026.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J
SNI